

GUILSBOROUGH PARISH COUNCIL

Established 1894
Chairman: Anthony Hart

Mrs Carol Holifield

guilborough.pc@gmail.com

Margaret Howe
Senior Policy Officer (Planning)
West Northants District Council
Daventry
Northants

21 March 2022

Dear Mags

RE: GUILSBOROUGH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN UPDATE

I refer to previous email exchanges between Cllr David O'Neil and yourself and in particular the email dated 11 March 2022 which included the revision documents relating to the updated Guilborough Neighbourhood Development Plan (GNDP). I can confirm that, at the Council meeting held on 14 March 2022, Guilborough Parish Council approved these documents for formal submission to West Northants Council so that it can assess the GNDP Update.

The Council is of the opinion that the proposed policy changes are minor in nature, and that was indicated in discussions with you following submission of the draft submissions tables and tracked changes documents. These are now our 'final' documents and are re-attached for ease.

We look forward to hearing from you in due course

Yours sincerely

Carol Holifield

Carol Holifield
Parish Clerk

Encs

- GNDP Update Tables 090322
- GNDP Consultation Nov Dec 2021
- GNDP Update Nov 2021 Consultation Tracked Changes 091121

GUILSBOROUGH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN UPDATE 2022: SUPPORTING TABLES (as at 11/03/2022)

Table 1A - Screening against Settlements and Countryside Local Plan Part 2

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
<p>Policy 1: General Development Policy Proposals for development will be supported where they meet other policies in the Guilsborough Neighbourhood Plan, the Development Plan and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Protect the amenity of existing residents; ii. Protect the landscape, character and heritage assets; iii. Provide safe access; iv. Mitigate impact on traffic and highway safety, including issues with narrow lanes such as the Cold Ashby Road and Nortoft; v. Mitigate impact on on-street car parking; vi. Seek to protect the Parish's best agricultural land; vii. Are designed to integrate well with the nearby existing buildings and structures in terms of scale, location and design as well as support the wider character and distinctiveness of the village. This is particularly important in the Historic Core of the High Street and Nortoft where any development will need to be particularly sensitively developed, commensurate with the status of its individual features and with the setting of the streetscape as a whole; viii. Mitigate impacts arising from noise, light or air contamination, land instability and would not result in land instability, or cause ground water pollution; ix. Can be provided with the necessary utilities, infrastructure and public services, and be served by public transport where appropriate; x. Utilise sustainable construction methods (where viable and where the appearance does not conflict with other criteria in this policy), including minimising the use of non-renewable resources, limiting harmful climate change, and maximising the use of recycled and sustainably sourced materials; xi. Where appropriate provide accessible and legible connections for all members of the community; xii. Create a safe environment that minimises the opportunities for crime; xiii. Where appropriate incorporates designs that can be easily adapted to accommodate changing personal health circumstances, lifestyles and technologies; xiv. Supports contributions to infrastructure through s106 and/or CIL (see section on developers' contributions) where required. 	<p>NP1 SP1 RA2 RA5 RA6 ENV1 ENV7 ENV10</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Policy 1 is consistent with the policies cited. It meets the Neighbourhood planning functions set out in NP1; it does not detract from Daventry Town's role as set out in SP1; it meets the needs of a Secondary Service Village as set out in RA2 (e.g. housing for local need only); the renovation or conversion of existing buildings within the confines of the village will be supported as in RA5; Open countryside is protected by limiting development there, as set out in RA6; Landscape and character are maintained and visual impacts on local landscapes clarified in Policy 8, so meets ENV1; the historic environment is protected for example in Policy 10,11 and the Policies Map, so meet ENV7; High quality design is ensured via policy 1</p>	<p>Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.</p>

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
			and policy 8, so meeting ENV10.	
<p>Policy 2: Village Confines</p> <p>1. Development shall be focused within the Village Confines of Guilsborough as shown on the Village Confines Map. Development proposals will be supported in these locations subject to compliance with other policies in the development plan.</p> <p>2. Development outside the Village Confines is classified as being in open countryside and development here will only be acceptable in exceptional circumstances where it is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Immediately adjacent to the Village Confines, and Able to mitigate impact on important views, landscape, character, heritage or other constraints identified in the development plan, including on the GNDP Proposals Map; and It is a Rural Exception Site or required to meet the housing needs identified in the most up to date Housing Needs Assessment; It is a use which represents very small-scale sustainable employment development, and which is appropriate, directly related to, and necessary to support of the rural economic needs of the parish. 	RA2 RA6	Yes	Policy 2 is consistent with RA2 and RA6 as it restricts development to within the village confines, but responds to identified local needs.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 3: Housing General and in Open Countryside</p> <p>Policy 3(a) General</p> <p>Small- scale housing development within the Village Confines is supported where this meets the community's aspiration for housing levels, and should meet the following criteria;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Developments should provide a mix of house sizes and types to include 2-bedroom market houses and 2-bedroom market bungalows where appropriate; Be within the Village Confines where it would not adversely impact any of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Landscape, Public or private amenity, The form and character of village, Lead to loss of open space or local green space identified in the Proposals Map. <p>Policy 3(b) Housing in the Open Countryside</p> <p>Proposals for residential development in the Open Countryside will only be supported in the following exceptional circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Where planning permission is required and it involves the conversion of an existing building, or It comprises a single small dwelling to support a local rural enterprise; or Is a Rural Housing Exception Site, or Otherwise meets GNDP Policy 2 (Village Confines),or is otherwise provided for in the Development Plan. 	RA2 SP1 RA6	Yes	The GNDP meets these policies. It protects open countryside by focussing on development from local needs within the village confines, except for exceptional circumstances, whilst recognising the identified local need.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 4: Affordable Housing</p> <p>Policy 4(a) General</p> <p>The amount and type of affordable homes to be delivered will be based on the latest Housing Needs Survey;</p> <p>Policy 4(b) Rural Housing Exception Sites</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The identification of a location for a Rural Housing Exception Site to deliver the affordable housing needs 	SP1 RA2 RA6	Yes	The GNDP meets these policies. It protects open countryside by focussing on	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
<p>of the Parish, as identified and updated by the latest Housing Needs Study will be supported. It is desirable to deliver these needs as early as is practicable;</p> <p>2. All Affordable Homes will remain affordable housing in perpetuity. They will be allocated first to local people, or persons with a strong local connection and then use the cascade set out in the Housing SPD for Daventry District.</p>			development from local needs within the village confines, except for exceptional circumstances, whilst recognising the identified local need	terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 5: Employment:</p> <p>1) Small scale development to support local businesses would be supported, but only where this involved the conversion of small buildings to small-scale employment uses or for the diversification of existing small rural enterprises including tourism, and where it is acceptable in terms of highways safety and does not conflict with other policies in this GNDP.</p> <p>2) Existing employment locations will be protected unless it can be proved the site is unsuitable for such a use, or there is insufficient demand for its current use, or other employment use.</p> <p>3) To support the development of small-scale tourism and other related small scale agricultural diversification, the following proposals will be supported, provided that any detrimental impact on residential amenities or highway safety can be mitigated:</p> <p>a) Visitor accommodation in existing buildings (e.g. Bed and Breakfast);</p> <p>b) Appropriate infrastructure to support such tourism development (e.g. car parking, public toilets, a small retail area); and</p> <p>c) Small-scale enhancements (including footpaths, signage, information boards, public art) to existing, or to create new, tourist attractions.</p>	RA5 RA6	Yes	The GNDP meets both these policies, allowing only small scale employment and tourism that supports relevant local business.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 6: Transport, Traffic and Parking:</p> <p>1. Proposals for traffic calming on the West Haddon Road will be supported. Traffic calming in Nortoft will be supported but only if this does not significantly affect Nortoft's valued and sensitive character and heritage value.</p> <p>2. Proposals to protect and improve current car parking, and provide new off-road parking will be supported, this will include off-highway solutions where this does not conflict with other policies in this GNDP.</p> <p>3. Any improvements to the bus service will be supported.</p> <p>4. Any increase in the parking of school related cars and buses within the existing secondary school grounds will be supported, where this does not conflict with other policies in this GNDP. The scale of any expansion of the Guilsborough Academy School will need very careful consideration in relation to adequate mitigation of traffic and parking impacts.</p> <p>5. Existing highway parking, including designated off-road parking will be protected. Such off-road parking may benefit from clearer white line markings, and if necessary and appropriate, signage.</p> <p>6. Due to the special difficulties of parking and traffic, any new housing in Guilsborough should aim to provide adequate off-road parking as follows.</p> <p>i. 2/3 bedroom dwellings, a minimum 2 parking spaces,</p> <p>ii. 4/5 bedroom dwellings, a minimum 3 parking spaces.</p>	ST1	Yes	The GNDP meets this policy. It seeks to improve road conditions for all users including cyclists and pedestrians.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
<p>iii. Parking allocation should avoid using closed garages and tandem parking should be avoided. Options to vary the above may be considered if equivalent new, accessible, safe and attractive to use, small-scale, off-road communal parking is provided.</p> <p>7. Opportunities to provide off-highway parking for users of the church will be welcomed.</p>				
<p>Policy 7: Energy</p> <p>1. Small scale domestic renewable energy schemes would be supported, as this scale of development is unlikely to have a significant adverse effect on the landscape character.</p>	ENV1 ENV2 ENV9	Yes	The GNDP meets all these policies. Small scale emphasis recognises the landscape designation sensitivities.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 8: Landscape, Views and Character</p> <p>1. To ensure the landscape character and important views are preserved and wherever possible enhanced, any development will be required to:</p> <p>i. Have regard to Natural England’s Northamptonshire Uplands and Ironstone Uplands (particularly ‘Guilsborough Ironstone’) Landscape Character types with its Landscape Strategy for these including the sensitivity of development on hill slopes, ridges and prominent sites on the edge of the village and its tranquillity.</p> <p>ii. Preserve and wherever possible enhance the protected important views listed in figure 4 and identified on figure 9 as follows:</p> <p>V1: The long views of the church and its setting on the approach from West Haddon, typically West of Mud Barn; V2: The short and long views from the GPFA recreation ground and Right of Way CS5; V3: The short and long views from Nortoft over the Saxon fish ponds and out towards Cottesbrooke; V4: The views up into Nortoft on arrival towards the village; V5: The views out of the Village Recreation Ground (western half); V6: The long views from the A5199/Welford Road across the reservoir to the North Eastern vista of the village; V7: The views into the Bronze/Iron Age/Roman fort on the approach up the Coton Road and its setting; V8: The long views into the village from the Ravensthorpe approach; V9: Views within the Historic Core of the High Street and Nortoft; V10: Views over the wider historic fort area from Church Mount;</p> <p>2. Where planning permission is required any extensive hard or soft landscaping including the planting of tree belts will need to avoid having a significant detrimental impact on the important views;</p> <p>3. Regard should be had for the Northamptonshire Countryside Design Guide (CPRE/RFT) until a full village design guide is prepared and adopted.</p>	SP1 ENV1 ENV2 ENV7 ENV10	Yes	The GNDP meets all these policies. Including being sensitive to the Special Landscape Area and other character types/designations and consider heritage landscape needs in detail. It also has local design criteria that match needs of ENV10.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.
<p>Policy 9: Local Green Space, Open Space and Biodiversity</p> <p>Policy 9(a) Local Green Space</p> <p>1. The following areas are designated as Local Green Space as the most valued and irreplaceable areas of amenity land in the village, where in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework inappropriate development will only be permitted in “very special circumstances”.</p>	NP1 SP1 RA6 ENV1	Yes	The GNDP meets all these policies. Including being sensitive to the Special Landscape	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
<p>a) The Village Greens b) The Village Recreation Ground (playing field and amenity open space) 2. New built development on the Local Green Spaces will only be permitted in the most special circumstances.</p> <p>Policy 9(b) Open Space</p> <p>1. The following areas are identified as open space and as highly valued areas, some for their recreational amenity value, and some for the contribution they make to visual amenity and/or heritage. They include:</p> <p>a) The GPFA Playing Field b) Cold Ashby Road Paddock c) The Village Recreation Ground (children’s play and youth area)</p> <p>2. Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields should not be built on unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assessment has been taken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or • The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent of better provision including in terms of quality and quality in a suitable location; or • The development is for alternative sports or recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss. <p>Policy 9(c) Biodiversity To protect and enhance biodiversity:</p> <p>1. Landscaping and boundary treatments for all new development shall use native plant species and where possible, protect and incorporate existing native vegetation; 2. Existing wildlife and habitats, including aquatic habitats, shall be protected, enhanced, and where possible new ones created. This includes ensuring mature and established trees are protected and incorporated into landscaping schemes wherever possible. Protection should be commensurate with the status of the features. 3. The extension of domestic gardens into the open countryside will be resisted.</p>	<p>ENV4 ENV5 CW2 PA1</p>		<p>Area, biodiversity needs, and identifying local green infrastructure such as Open Space and Local Green Space.</p>	<p>terms of numbers and wording.</p>
<p>Policy 10: Other Valued Community Amenities and Services</p> <p>1. The identified valued community amenities and services in the village will be protected and where appropriate enhanced. 2. Proposals to enhance and improve these assets will be supported where they:</p> <p>i. Do not have an adverse impact on residential amenity; and ii. They provide suitable access, car parking and necessary ancillary facilities. 3. Proposals for any loss of these Valued Amenity and Service Assets will only be supported if the following can be demonstrated:</p> <p>i. The proposal includes alternative provision, on a suitable site within the Village, of equivalent or enhanced facilities. Such sites should be accessible by public transport, walking and cycling and have adequate car parking; or ii. Development that results in their loss will only be supported where the site is no longer attractive to the market for its existing permitted use as demonstrated by independent evidence that it has been actively marketed</p>	<p>NP1 CW1 CW3</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The GNDP meets all these policies, including: the protecting and enhancing existing and provision of new community facilities and open spaces. GNDP also lists and seeks protects valued local community assets.</p>	<p>Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.</p>

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies	Is NDP policy consistent with Part 2 Local Plan policy/policies? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
<p>for a period of 12 months and there is no longer an economic justification to protect the asset for community use; or</p> <p>iii. There are sufficient alternative equivalent services accessible in the village or immediate locality having regard to its contribution to the settlement’s role in the settlement hierarchy</p> <p>iv. Opportunities for diversification of the business will be supported providing that it retains some useable, viable floorspace for its original function that meets the assessed needs of the community.</p> <p>4. Expansion of the Guilsborough Academy would be supported subject to:</p> <p>i. A school travel plan agreed with Daventry District Council and Northamptonshire County Council as the Highways Authority.</p> <p>ii. Appropriate mitigation of any additional impact on the highway network, which could include:</p> <p>(a) The provision of formal, internal and/or off-highway parking and drop off space for motor-vehicles including cars and coaches required to make the development acceptable;</p> <p>(b) Physical restriction of parking on the verges outside or close to the school, wherever possible.</p> <p>5. New, small scale community facilities for youth and the elderly will be supported.</p>				
<p>Policy 11: Non-Designated Heritage Assets</p> <p>Proposals affecting non-designated heritage assets and their settings including those with archaeological interest must comply fully with the requirements of National Planning Policy and policy BN5 of the WNJCS.</p> <p>Within the Guilsborough Neighbourhood Plan Area:</p> <p>1. The Historic Fort and the Saxon Fishponds area have been identified as important non-designated heritage assets.</p> <p>2. The Historic Core of the village, based around the historic streetscape of the High Street and Nortoft is important to the character of the village and development will be supported where there are high quality proposals that respond positively to their context by reinforcing local distinctiveness including street pattern, siting, form, scale, mass, use, materials and architectural features.</p> <p>3. Areas outside the Village Confines, such as “ridge and furrow” field systems should be conserved where their value is proven by an archaeological survey.</p>	SP1 ENV7	Yes	The GNDP meets all these policies, including: specifically identifying ‘Non-Designated Assets’. It consults the Historic Environmental Record, identifies and protects key local heritage assets.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the SCLP policy changes in terms of numbers and wording.

Table 1B- Screening against National Planning Policy Framework 2021

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant NPPF Policy/paragraph	Is NDP policy consistent with NPPF 2021? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
Policy 1: General Development Policy	Para 21 Para 29 Para 127	Yes	Update relevant NPPF para numbers	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 2: Village Confines	None	Yes	n/a	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 3: Housing General	None	Yes	n/a	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 4: Affordable Housing	Para 70	Yes	Local Housing Needs have been assessed and represented in the GNDP.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 5: Employment	None	Yes	n/a	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant NPPF Policy/paragraph	Is NDP policy consistent with NPPF 2021? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
				changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 6: Transport, Traffic and Parking	None	Yes	n/a	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 7: Energy	156	Yes.	Small scale renewable development is supported.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 8: Landscape, Views and Character	127	Yes	The GNDP identifies the special landscape qualities of its area and explains how this should be reflected in development.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 9: Local Green Space, Open Space and Biodiversity	101-102, 127	Yes.	Local Green Space identified is consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 10: Other Valued Community Amenities and Services	127	Yes	The GNDP identifies the special qualities of its area and explains how this should be reflected in development.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description)	Relevant NPPF Policy/paragraph	Is NDP policy consistent with NPPF 2021? Yes/No	Reason for consistency or conflict	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
				NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.
Policy 11: Non-Designated Heritage Assets	127, 195, 200	Yes	The GNDP identifies the Non-designated heritage assets and explains how they should be reflected in development.	Yes but only to the supporting text to quote the NPPF policy changes in terms of numbers and wording, where needed.

Table 1C – Evidence and other changes

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title and short description) Source and description of new evidence or change in circumstances	Relevant neighbourhood plan policy and impacts of new evidence	Are modifications necessary as a result? Yes/No
All text has been updated to take account of new NPPF para numbers, and to update from draft SCLP1 to adopted SCLP2	No impacts on policies	Yes but only to supportive text – see tracked changes on provided document.
DDC Housing Needs Survey (2020): Policy 3: Housing General and in Open Countryside Policy 4: Affordable Housing	New GNDP paras 117 to 119 updates: Affordable housing need: up from 3 to 11-13; Market housing down from 10 to 8-10; Para 127: With this 2021 GNDP update it is noted that 4 new dwellings have been delivered above the shop, so their remains up to 24 dwellings spread up to 2029, so this is the new ‘appropriate scale housing’ total (GNDP para 132).	Yes but only to supportive text – see tracked changes on provided document. Yes but only to supportive text – see tracked changes on provided document

Table 1D – Monitoring

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title)	How has policy been implemented in the last 12 months? e.g. permissions granted/refused	Are modifications necessary as a result of monitoring?
Policy 1: General Development Policy	All applications considered in this context	No
Policy 2: Village Confines	Annex, Old House (WND/2021/0062), High Street application allowed in open countryside because officers stated was part of main house within confines.	No
Policy 3: Housing General	DDC Housing Needs Survey (May 2016/2020) : New GNDP paras 117 to 119 updates: Affordable housing need: up from 3 to 11-13; Market housing down from 10 to 8-10; Para 127: With this 2021 GNDP update it is noted that 4 new dwellings have been delivered above the shop (WND.2019/0071), so their remains up to 24 dwellings spread up to 2029, so this is the new 'appropriate scale housing' total (GNDP para 132).	Yes but only to supportive text – see tracked changes on provided document
Policy 4: Affordable Housing	DDC Housing Needs Survey (May 2016/2020) : New GNDP paras 117 to 119 updates: Affordable housing need: up from 3 to 11-13; Market housing down from 10 to 8-10; Para 127: With this 2021 GNDP update it is noted that 4 new dwellings have been delivered above the shop (WND.2019/0071), so their remains up to 24 dwellings spread up to 2029, so this is the new 'appropriate scale housing' total (GNDP para 132).	Yes but only to supportive text – see tracked changes on provided document
Policy 5: Employment	Conversion of offices to 4 flats above shop. Housing needs priority.	No
Policy 6: Transport, Traffic and Parking	The Skerries application (WND/2021/0174). 2 houses. Initial design amended due to GNDP concerns on design and amenity. GPC required and was given a condition on construction traffic due to traffic peak issues.	No
Policy 7: Energy	None	No
Policy 8: Landscape, Views and Character	The Paddock House (WND/2021/0297), off The Green. Officers did not give the weight to landscape and heritage issues that the GNDP required.	No
Policy 9: Local Green Space, Open Space and Biodiversity	Village playground given longer lease in part due to designation as Local Green Space	No
Policy 10: Other Valued Community Amenities and Services	No objection to new buildings at Guilsborough Academy expansions (DA/2019/0690).	No
Policy 11: Non-Designated Heritage Assets	The Paddock House, off The Green (WND/2021/0297). Officers did not give the weight to landscape and heritage issues that the GNDP required. Land off Church Way (WND/2021/0093): application significantly	No

Neighbourhood Plan Policy (title)	How has policy been implemented in the last 12 months? e.g. permissions granted/refused	Are modifications necessary as a result of monitoring?
	amended in response to GNDP related objection by GPC to reduce heritage impact.	No

Table 2 - Modifications required and type of modification

Neighbourhood Plan Policy requiring modification as identified in Tables 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D	Reason or source of modification e.g. Local Plan Part 2, NPPF, updated evidence, monitoring	Policy changes to address modifications required from Tables 1A, 1B, 1C or 1D Where possible identify specific draft policy wording. Where this is not possible, e.g. where evidence needs to be gathered, indicate what you think the policy wording could be in broad terms	At this stage, do you consider the modification to be: a. Minor (non-material) modification? b. Material modification which does not change the nature of the plan? c. Material modifications that does change the nature of the plan? Please provide an explanation
Policy 7: Energy	Simplification, removed text is within supporting text.	"Small scale domestic renewable energy schemes would be supported, as this scale of development is unlikely to have a significant adverse effect on the landscape character. "	Minor