

Intended for  
**Daventry District Council**

Document type  
**Report**

Date  
**September 2019**

# **DAVENTRY PART 2 LOCAL PLAN MAIN MODIFICATIONS SA /HRA SCREENING**

## DAVENTRY PART 2 LOCAL PLAN MAIN MODIFICATIONS SA /HRA SCREENING

Project name **Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA**  
Project no. **1700002513\_Conv / UK1524406**  
Recipient **Margaret Howe**  
Document type **Report**  
Version **3**  
Date **05/09/2019**  
Prepared by **Emma Jones**  
Checked by **Bram Miller**  
Approved by **Bram Miller**  
Description **Daventry Local Plan Part 2 Main Modifications SA /HRA screening**

Ramboll  
Cornerblock  
Two Cornwall Street  
Birmingham  
West Midlands B3 2DX  
United Kingdom

T +44 121 230 1650  
<https://uk.ramboll.com/environment-and-health>

This report is produced by Ramboll at the request of the client for the purposes detailed herein. This report and accompanying documents are intended solely for the use and benefit of the client for this purpose only and may not be used by or disclosed to, in whole or in part, any other person without the express written consent of Ramboll. Ramboll neither owes nor accepts any duty to any third party and shall not be liable for any loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature which is caused by their reliance on the information contained in this report.

Ramboll UK Limited  
Registered in England & Wales  
Company No: 03659970  
Registered office:  
240 Blackfriars Road  
London  
SE1 8NW

Ramboll  
Cornerblock  
Two Cornwall Street  
Birmingham  
West Midlands B3 2DX  
United Kingdom

T +44 121 230 1650  
<https://uk.ramboll.com/environment-and-health>

Ramboll UK Limited  
Registered in England & Wales  
Company No: 03659970  
Registered office:  
240 Blackfriars Road  
London  
SE1 8NW

## CONTENTS

<b>NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 This report	2
<b>2. Screening</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Screening methodology	3
2.3 Conclusions and next steps	3

## NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

This Screening Report forms part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) for the Daventry Settlements and Countryside Part 2 Local Plan.

This report provides a screening (check) of each of the Main Modifications of the Local Plan post hearings undertaken in June 2019, to consider whether they would change the results of the HRA screening and the SA. The modifications to the Local Plan are reported in Section 2 of this report in full. This addendum report should be read in conjunction with the statutory SA report (see <https://www.daventrydc.gov.uk/living/planning-policy/part-2-local-plan/>) and the HRA screening letter (see <https://www.daventrydc.gov.uk/EasySiteWeb/GatewayLink.aspx?aId=48380>).

This screening check shows that none of the Main Modifications will result in changes to the conclusions of the HRA screening / SA. Therefore, no further assessment is required.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

This Screening Report forms part of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and HRA screening for the Daventry Part 2 Local Plan.

The statutory SA report was published in November 2017 alongside the Draft Local Plan for consultation. The SA report reported on the assessment of the sustainability of the Draft Local Plan in accordance with Statutory Instrument 2004 No. 1633: The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (the SEA Regulations). Some changes were made to the SA in July 2018 and the assessment of these small changes also form part of the SA.

The amended HRA screening letter was published in July 2018 and reports the HRA screening exercise for the Local Plan as required by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

The Part 2 Local Plan was submitted to the Secretary of State on 19<sup>th</sup> December 2018. The Planning Inspectorate appointed an Inspector to examine the plan for soundness. Local Plan hearing sessions were held in June 2019. During the hearing sessions the Inspector identified some issues of soundness and following the hearing sessions, the Inspector provided a post hearing note (EXAM 28) that set out that he considered that the plan as submitted had deficiencies in respect of soundness. However subject to a series of main modifications, discussed at the hearings and set out in the note, the plan could be considered to be legally compliant and sound.

This report provides a screening of each of the Main Modifications to consider whether they would change the results of the HRA screening / SA. The modifications to the Local Plan are reported in Section 2 of this report in full. This should be read in conjunction with the statutory SA report / HRA screening letter.

## 1.1 This report

This section of the report is Section 1: Introduction. This section sets out the purpose of this report. Section 2 of this report sets out the methodology and results of the screening process.

## 2. SCREENING

### 2.1 Introduction

The SEA regulations do not require SA reports to be updated after the statutory SA report has been published and consulted on. However, Government Planning Practice Guidance states that it is up to the local planning authority to decide whether the SA report should be amended following proposed changes to an emerging plan.

If the local planning authority assesses that necessary changes are significant, and were not previously subject to SA, then further SA may be required, and the SA report should be updated and amended accordingly ([Planning Practice Guidance](#) Paragraph: 023 Reference ID: 11-023-20140306). In addition, the Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (The Planning Inspectorate June 2019 (5th Edition)) is clear that consideration of SA and HRA is necessary at the Main Modifications stage.

### 2.2 Screening methodology

The Main Modifications to the Local Plan have been screened to check whether they would change the conclusions of the SA and HRA. The screening approach to the SA is more detailed than the HRA approach. From the beginning of the Local Plan preparation a full SA has been undertaken on each stage of the Local Plan with each aspect of the Local Plan being assessed. However, a full HRA has not been undertaken as the screening stage found that there is no likely effects pathway to the Upper Nene Valley Gravel Pits SPA and Ramsar Site. Therefore, this stage of the process for the HRA examines only whether changes to the Local Plan will generate an effects pathway that otherwise did not exist. This is an overall judgement that is reached through reviewing the Main Modifications in aggregate. With regard to the SA each change to the Local Plan needs to be reviewed. Table 2.1 sets out this consideration in detail for each change.

The screening of the Main Modifications is recorded in Table 2.1 in one of the following ways.

- The modification is an insignificant change and will not lead to a change in the conclusions of the SA. In this case the following is recorded in the screening table. **Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.** The majority of the Main Modifications fall into this category;
- The change is *potentially* more significant and *could* change the results of the SA. In these cases, the latest SA results are reviewed to ensure that the modification will not change these conclusions. The main results of the latest SA are summarised in the table. None of the changes resulted in changes needed to the SA. Therefore, the following has been stated in these cases: *The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in [Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B \(Ramboll, November 2017\)](#). A summary of this assessment is as follows ...The modification does not change these conclusions.* **Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.**

### 2.3 Conclusions and next steps

As stated above none of the Main Modifications will result in changes to the conclusions of the SA. Therefore, no further assessment is required, and the assessment results, mitigation measures and monitoring measures highlighted in the statutory SA report are unchanged. With regard to the HRA the review has concluded that the changes to the Local Plan will not change the results of the HRA screening.



Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
	4.1.05	<del>is now under preparation to keep this position under review as further cooperation takes place to ensure that the WNJCS spatial strategy is delivered.</del> As this is a Part 2 Local Plan it is crucial that this over-arching spatial strategy of the WNJCS is carried forward. With respect to the NRDA, it is important that Northampton’s needs are met in a plan-led manner to avoid piecemeal development. As set out in para 5.12 of the WNJCS piecemeal development can increase the load on the current road and utilities infrastructure, without bringing forward the economies of scale that would make the provision of further infrastructure cost effective and therefore deliverable. Such a pattern of development in the District is not considered to be sustainable. <u>It is not within the scope of the Part 2 Plan to plan for development that meets the needs of Northampton (outside the SUEs that have already been allocated). Work on the review of the WNJCS (Part 1 Plan) is underway, and this Plan would not inhibit additional development coming forward to meet Northampton’s needs should it arise from that review in the West Northamptonshire Strategic Plan.</u>	To clarify that it is not within the scope of this Part 2 Plan to plan for development that meets the needs of Northampton (outside of the SUEs allocated in the WNJCS).	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 10 document	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM2	RA1 and paras 5.1.05, 5.1.11, 5.2.19 and Appendix B	Amend part B, C and delete second sentence of D as follows; <b>B. Development outside the <u>defined</u> confines will <u>only</u> be acceptable <u>only</u> in <u>the following exceptional</u> circumstances;</b>  <b>i. <u>Where the housing land supply is less than five years (three years where a neighbourhood development plan is in place that allocates sites for housing) ; or</u></b>  <b>ii. <u>Where the development provided would clearly meet an identified local need, for housing this would be need identified through an up-to-date Housing Needs Survey or Housing Needs Assessment where it is demonstrated that this could not otherwise be met within the defined village confines; or</u></b>  <b>iii. <u>Where a scheme is required to support an essential local service that has been demonstrated to be under threat, especially a primary school or primary health service; or</u></b>  <b>iv. <u>Economic development that will enhance or maintain the vitality or sustainability of the Primary Service Village or would contribute towards and improve the local economy; or</u></b>  <b>v. <u>Development which otherwise accords with policy EC4.</u></b>  <b>C. To ensure that the role of these villages is maintained, all development at the Primary Service Villages, <u>within or outside the confines</u> shall <u>also</u> meet the following criteria;</b>  <b>D. Development that is provided for in a made neighbourhood development plan will also be supported. <del>Neighbourhood development plan policies and/ or allocations should be in general conformity with the requirements of parts B and C above.</del></b>  <i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraphs 5.1.05, 5.1.11 and 5.2.19 Appendix B Glossary of Terms as follows:</i>	To help clarify the exceptions approach and to include economic development to ensure greater consistency with policy R1 of the WNJCS. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 16 and 28 documents.	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(4) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies RA1, RA2, RA3 and RA4):  No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects. The policies are generally supportive of the sustainability objectives through helping to provide a scale of housing development that is appropriate to each particular settlement taking into account that the rural housing requirement has been met. Application of the settlement hierarchy will ensure that development is focused on the most sustainable locations helping to provide good access to services and public transport. The policies should also help to protect the character of the natural environment and townscape / landscape as the settlement hierarchy

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
5.1.05		Amend second sentence as follows, rest of paragraph remains unchanged:  Due to the progress against the rural requirement it is not considered necessary to make any allocations for development in the rural areas in this plan or to identify specific targets for individual settlements. However further <del>allocations development</del> could come forward <u>exceptionally as set out in policies RA1, RA2 and RA3, through policy RA6 and</u> through neighbourhood development plans or exception sites. <u>Such development will need to be where supported by appropriate evidence, for example a <del>Local Housing Needs</del> survey or Housing Needs Assessment provided in support of a proposal.</u>	To help clarify how the exceptions to policy will be applied.	As discussed at the examination and in response to consultation on EXAM 16, EXAM20E (comments provided by Peter Brett Associates)	takes into account the suitability of settlements in accommodating development in terms of environmental constraints.  <i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i>  <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b>
5.1.11		Replace last sentence as follows:  <del>The policy clearly sets out what types of development would be considered acceptable and it is not considered that further guidance on economic development in the rural areas is required in this plan. As set out in policies RA1, RA2 and RA3 proposals outside of village confines will only be permitted exceptionally where they would contribute towards or improve the local economy. Furthermore for Primary Service Villages proposals that are otherwise in accordance with policy EC4, Strategic Employment Areas, would also be supported.</del>	To help clarify how the exceptions to policy will be applied.	As discussed at the examination.  EXAM 16 document	<b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b>
5.2.19		Amend paragraph 5.2.19 as follows:  Taking forward policy R1 <u>of the WNJCS</u> , there may <u>exceptionally</u> be scope for development outside of the confines but only in <del>exceptional circumstances. Typically these would be; prescribed circumstances. These are where there is an absence of a five year housing land supply (three years where a made neighbourhood development plan allocates sites for housing), the development is needed to meet an identified local need, where it is required to support an essential local service that is under threat or is economic development that enhances or maintains the local economy including where it meets the requirements of policy E4 relating to Strategic Employment Areas. In assessing applications for residential development that meet an identified housing need it will be important to also demonstrate that there is insufficient capacity to meet the needs within the confines of the village. In assessing this regard will need to be had to other sources of information, including but not limited to the latest Housing Land Availability report, Brownfield Register and Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment.</del>  <del>• Where the housing land supply is less than five years (three years where a neighbourhood development plan is in place that allocates sites for housing); or</del>			<b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
	Appendix B Glossary of Terms	<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Where the development provided would clearly meet an identified local need, for housing this would be need identified through an up-to-date Housing Needs Survey carried out by Daventry District Council;</del></li> <li>• <del>Where a scheme is required to support an essential local service that has been demonstrated to be under threat, especially a primary school or primary health service</del></li> </ul> <p>Include definition of Housing Needs Survey and Housing Needs Assessment as follows;</p> <p><b><u>Housing Needs Assessment</u></b></p> <p><u>An assessment undertaken by an applicant at a Parish level to establish housing need which will include primary sources of information consistent with a Housing Needs Survey. Ideally the methodology should be agreed in advance with Daventry District Council.</u></p> <p><b><u>Housing Needs Survey</u></b></p> <p><u>Housing Needs Surveys are completed by Daventry District Council to help identify current and future housing need at a local level. Information is gathered from residents and other people with an established connection to the area, supplemented by information from the housing register, and provided in a report. Information collated from the surveys can help to understand and work towards improving housing supply to meet the identified need and provides evidence to help support planning applications, delivery of affordable homes, sustainable growth. Parish level housing need surveys are normally the required method for the assessment of local housing needs and will be required to support any exceptions development. Reports to support schemes should be no more than three years old at the time of submission.</u></p> <p><i>NB. The modification to paragraphs 5.1.05 and 5.1.11 also incorporates those required for consistency with MM3, MM4 and MM5 respectively</i></p>	To provide clarity against paragraphs 5.2.19, 5.2.23 and 5.2.25		Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM3	RA2 and para 5.2.23	<p>Amend part B, C and delete second sentence of D as follows;</p> <p><b>B. Development outside the <u>defined</u> confines will <del>only</del> be acceptable <u>only</u> in <u>the following exceptional</u> circumstances;</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>Where the housing land supply is less than five years (three years where a neighbourhood development plan is in place that allocates sites for housing); or</u></li> <li>ii. <u>Where the development provided would clearly meet an identified local need, for housing this would be need identified through an up-to-date Housing Needs Survey or Housing Needs Assessment where it is demonstrated that this could not otherwise be met within the defined village confines; or</u></li> <li>iii. <u>Where a scheme is required to support an essential local service that has been demonstrated to be under threat, especially a primary school or primary health service; ; or</u></li> <li>iv. <u>Economic development that will enhance or maintain the vitality or sustainability of the Secondary Service Village or would contribute towards and improve the local economy</u></li> </ul>	To help clarify the exceptions approach and to include economic development to ensure greater consistency with policy R1 of the WNJCS. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination.  EXAM 16 and 28 documents.	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(4) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies RA1, RA2, RA3 and RA4):</p> <p>No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects. The policies are generally supportive of the sustainability objectives through</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><b>C. To ensure that the role of these villages is maintained, all development at the Secondary Service Villages, <u>within or outside the confines</u> shall <u>also</u> meet the following criteria;</b></p> <p><b>D. Development that is provided in a made neighbourhood development plan will also be supported. <del>Neighbourhood development plan policies and/or allocations should be in general conformity with the requirements of parts B and C above.</del></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 5.2.23 as follows:</i></p> <p>As with Primary Service Villages, <u>taking forward policy R1 of the WNJCS</u> there may <u>exceptionally</u> be scope for development outside of the confines but only in <del>exceptional circumstances</del>. <del>Typically these would be prescribed circumstances. These are where there is an absence of a five year housing land supply (three years where a made neighbourhood development plan allocates sites for housing), the development is needed to meet an identified local need, where it is required to support an essential local service that is under threat or is economic development that enhances or maintains the local economy. In assessing applications for residential development that meet an identified housing need it will be important to also demonstrate that there is insufficient capacity to meet the needs within the confines of the village. In assessing this regard will need to be had to other sources of information, including but not limited to the latest Housing Land Availability report, Brownfield Register and Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment.</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• Where the housing land supply is less than five years (three years where a neighbourhood development plan is in place that allocates sites for housing); or</del></li> <li><del>• Where the development provided would clearly meet an identified local need, for housing this would be need identified through an up-to-date Housing Needs Survey carried out by Daventry District Council;</del></li> <li><del>• Where a scheme is required to support an essential local service that has been demonstrated to be under threat, especially a primary school or primary health service.</del></li> </ul> <p><i>NB. Associated modifications to paragraphs 5.1.05 and 5.1.11 are included in MM2</i></p>			<p>helping to provide a scale of housing development that is appropriate to each particular settlement taking into account that the rural housing requirement has been met. Application of the settlement hierarchy will ensure that development is focused on the most sustainable locations helping to provide good access to services and public transport. The policies should also help to protect the character of the natural environment and townscape / landscape as the settlement hierarchy takes into account the suitability of settlements in accommodating development in terms of environmental constraints.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b></i></p>
MM4	RA3, para 5.2.25 and Table 3	<p>Amend part B, C and delete second sentence of D as follows;</p> <p><b>B. Development outside the confines will <u>only be acceptable where it is demonstrated that it is required to meet an identified local need only in the following circumstances:</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><u>i. Where the development provided would clearly meet an identified local need, for housing this would be need identified through an up-to-date Housing Needs Survey or Housing Needs Assessment where it is demonstrated that this could not otherwise be met within the defined village confines; or</u></b></li> <li><b><u>ii. Where a scheme is required to support an essential local service that has been demonstrated to be under threat, especially a primary school; or</u></b></li> </ol>	To help clarify the exceptions approach and to include economic development to ensure greater consistency with policy R1 of the WNJCS. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's	As discussed at the examination.  EXAM 16 and 28 documents	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> - - see Table SA Table 3B(4) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><b>iii. <u>Economic development that will enhance or maintain the vitality or sustainability of the Other Village or would contribute towards and improve the local economy</u></b></p> <p><b>C. To ensure that the role of these villages is maintained, all development at the Other Villages, <u>within or outside the confines</u> shall <u>also</u> meet the following criteria;</b></p> <p><b>D. Development that is provided for in a made neighbourhood development plan will also be supported. <del>Neighbourhood development plan policies and/ or allocations should be in general conformity with the requirements of parts B and C above.</del></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 5.2.25 and Part D of Table 3 as follows:</i></p> <p>Amend paragraph 5.2.25 as follows;</p> <p>Beyond the Primary and Secondary Service Villages there are a number of generally smaller villages which have a more limited range of services and facilities, for example the provision of a public house/restaurant, a community hall and in some instances a primary school. Whilst it is important to retain these services and facilities, <u>taking forward policy R1 of the WNJCS and</u> to ensure a sustainable pattern of development across the District it is considered that development should be limited at these villages, to being small scale within the confines <del>and only outside the confines where it would clearly meet an identified local need. However, development may be allowed outside the confines exceptionally and in more limited circumstances than is envisaged for Primary and Secondary Service Villages, only in prescribed circumstances. These are where the development is needed to meet an identified local need, where it is required to support an essential local service that is under threat or is economic development that enhances or maintains the local economy. In assessing applications for residential development that meet an identified housing need it will be important to also demonstrate that there is insufficient capacity to meet the needs within the confines of the village. In assessing this regard will need be had to other sources of information, including but not limited to the latest Housing Land Availability report, Brownfield Register and Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment.</del></p> <p>Amend Table 3 as follows:</p> <p>Delete 'agricultural' from part D:</p> <p>D. Individual and groups of dwellings and <del>agricultural</del> buildings that are detached or peripheral to the village and relate to the open countryside rather than the built form of the village</p> <p><i>NB. Associated modifications to paragraphs 5.1.05 and 5.1.11 are included in MM2</i></p>	<p>post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p> <p>To provide clarity that it does not just apply to agricultural buildings but also applies to buildings that fall within other use classes.</p>	<p>As discussed at examination.</p>	<p>assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies RA1, RA2, RA3 and RA4):</p> <p>No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects. The policies are generally supportive of the sustainability objectives through helping to provide a scale of housing development that is appropriate to each particular settlement taking into account that the rural housing requirement has been met. Application of the settlement hierarchy will ensure that development is focused on the most sustainable locations helping to provide good access to services and public transport. The policies should also help to protect the character of the natural environment and townscape / landscape as the settlement hierarchy takes into account the suitability of settlements in accommodating development in terms of environmental constraints.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b></i></p> <p><b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b></p>
MM5	RA6	<p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p><b>The intrinsic character, beauty and tranquillity of the open countryside of the District will be <u>recognised protected</u>.</b></p>	<p>To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination.</p> <p>EXAM 16 and 28 document</p>	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><b>To achieve this, in the open countryside outside the confines of villages, <del>only</del> the following forms of development <u>only</u> will be supported:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i. Development, including the re-use or conversion of existing buildings, essential to ensure the continuing function of a rural business that meets the requirements of Policy HO6 (Rural Worker Dwelling); or</b></li> <li><b>ii. The replacement of an existing building of the same general size, massing and bulk predominantly on the same footprint, for the same use, that respects the character of its rural surroundings; or</b></li> <li><b>iii. Individual dwellings of exceptional quality or innovative design; or</b></li> <li><b>iv. The optimal viable use of a heritage asset <del>or enabling development to secure the future use of a heritage asset that accords with policy ENV7</del>; or</b></li> <li><b>v. The re-use of redundant or disused buildings that lead to an enhancement to the immediate setting; or</b></li> <li><b>vi. Extensions to existing buildings that respect their form and character; or</b></li> <li><b>vii. Essential investment in infrastructure including utilities; or</b></li> <li><b>viii. Development for agriculture, equestrian, forestry, leisure, community or tourism use that is justified and of an appropriate scale for its location, and has no significant adverse impacts on its character, beauty and tranquillity; <del>or</del></b></li> <li><b>ix. <u>Economic development that otherwise accords with policy EC4 or policy R2 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy or</u></b></li> <li><b>x. <u>Lorry parking provision that otherwise accords with policy ST2; or</u></b></li> <li><b>xi. <u>Development that otherwise accords with policies RA1, RA2 and RA3; or</u></b></li> <li><b>xii. <u>A rural exception site which complies with policy H3 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy and where appropriate policy HO7</u></b></li> </ul> <p><i>NB. Associated modifications to paragraphs 5.1.05 and 5.1.11 are included in MM2</i></p>	<p>post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p> <p>To remove reference to enabling development, as this is by definition contrary to policy. Note – this supersedes suggested PMM01 agreed in SCG01 Statement of Common Ground between the Council and Historic England.</p> <p>To clarify relationship between various polices and RA6</p>	EXAM 22 document	<p>result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(5) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:</p> <p>No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policy is generally supportive of the sustainability objectives through restricting housing development in the open countryside. This will help to protect the character of the open countryside and also support other sustainability objectives through ensuring that development is focused in areas with better access to sustainable transport and other services.</p> <p>The modification does not change these conclusions, particularly as additional circumstances where development may be acceptable relate to other polices, that have been separately assessed and do not affect the conclusions of the SA.</p> <p><b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b></p>
MM6	HO1 and para 6.1.20	<p>Amend B iv and add new criterion D:</p> <p><b>iv. A mix of house types <u>in accordance with policy HO8 unless there is clear evidence that an alternative mix would including housing to</u> assist with the regeneration of Daventry and <del>to</del> help enhance the profile of the town <u>or is necessary to make the development viable</u>;</b></p>	<p>To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p> <p>To provide clarity as to how</p>	EXAM 28 document	<p><b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b></p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p><b><u>D. A site wide Infrastructure Delivery Plan demonstrating how a phased development will support the costs of the associated infrastructure will be submitted as part of any planning application.</u></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 6.1.20 as follows:</i></p> <p>The site will need to make provision for a mix of dwelling types, which will help to meet the needs identified in policy <del>H07</del> H08. However in order to help meet wider regeneration aims of Daventry, in particular to attract new residents to live and work in Daventry, there may be scope for some larger properties to be provided as part of the housing mix. <del>This would need to be supported by clear evidence. However this should only be at a level that still provides a mix that is broadly consistent with policy H07.</del></p>	<p>infrastructure will come forward in respective phases.</p> <p>This also addresses issues raised in rep PS075/04 (Chapter 6 of Summary of Representations to Proposed Submission Plan and Suggested Response).</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination and included in SCG04</p>	
MM7	HO6 and para 6.3.06	<p>Insert new part B to HO6 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>B. Temporary accommodation for new rural enterprises will be acceptable where it is demonstrated that there would be an essential need to ensure the function of the rural business, so as to enable the long term financial sustainability of the enterprise to be assessed when well established, subject to meeting criteria A i, ii, iv and v.</u></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 6.3.06 as follows:</i></p> <p>Amend paragraph 6.3.06 as follows:</p> <p>In some circumstances, where it may be difficult to demonstrate long term financial sustainability, such as for a new rural enterprise, it may be appropriate <del>for the granting of to grant</del> a temporary planning permission for the proposed associated rural workers accommodation, for example to allow for temporary accommodation <u>to assist with establishing the viability of the business. This will normally be for a period of 3 years, after which period the enterprise would be regarded as well-established.</u></p>	<p>To extend the policy to address situations where a business is being established. This also addresses comments in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination.</p> <p>EXAM 22 and 28 documents</p>	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in – see Table SA Table 3B(11) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:</p> <p>No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policy will have a minor positive effect on air quality and noise, material assets and population and social deprivation by ensuring rural exception sites are on the most sustainable sites and through helping to meet rural housing need.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i></p>
MM8	HO8, paras 6.5.02, 6.5.04, 6.5.12, and new paras 6.5.18 and 6.5.19	<p>Amend policy HO8 as follows;</p> <p><b><u>A) Housing needs will be met by development providing a mix of dwelling type and size to cater for current and forecast accommodation needs. This will also contribute to the creation of sustainable mixed and inclusive communities. Developments will be required to meet part C iii) and iv) of this policy which are mandatory standards and will be expected to meet the other requirements of this policy unless it is demonstrated that compliance would make it unviable.</u></b></p>	<p>To provide additional flexibility and to reflect the outcomes of the viability assessment.</p> <p>To address comments at</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination</p> <p>EXAM 22 and 28 documents.</p>	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a>. A</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening																							
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions																		
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>																					
		<p><b>Specialist accommodation that promotes independent living (which could include the provision of extra care) will be sought on Sustainable Urban Extensions in accordance with part E of this policy.</b></p> <p><b><u>B) Housing Mix</u></b></p> <p><b><u>i) Developments of 10 or more dwellings will be expected to provide an appropriate range and mix of housing types to ensure the creation of mixed, balanced and inclusive communities. In assessing the mix of development proposals regard will be had to the importance of seeking to reflect the mix of market and affordable dwellings as identified in the most recent Housing Market Evidence Study. Developments should therefore seek to meet the target (need) mix set out in the tables below, however variations will be acceptable provided that they are within the ranges indicated:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>B) Market Housing Mix</u></b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Market Housing Target (Need)</u></th> <th><u>Minimum %</u></th> <th><u>Maximum %</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>3% - 1 - 2 bedroom apartments</u></td> <td><u>1%</u></td> <td><u>5%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>14% - 2 bedroom dwellings</u></td> <td><u>11%</u></td> <td><u>17%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>56% - 3 bedroom dwellings</u></td> <td><u>51%</u></td> <td><u>61%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>22% - 4 bedroom dwellings</u></td> <td><u>19%</u></td> <td><u>25%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>6% - 5 + bedroom dwellings</u></td> <td><u>4%</u></td> <td><u>8%</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><del>i) Proposals for market housing will be supported where they provide a mix that largely reflects the following:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>— 3% — 1 — 2 bedroom apartments</del></li> <li><del>— 14% — 2 bedroom dwellings</del></li> <li><del>— 56% — 3 bedroom dwellings</del></li> <li><del>— 22% — 4 bedroom dwellings</del></li> <li><del>— 6% — 5 + bedroom dwellings</del></li> </ul> <p><del>ii) Exceptions to this mix will be supported where they are evidenced by a robust assessment of needs at an appropriate local level.</del></p> <p><b><u>C) Affordable Housing Mix</u></b></p>	<u>Market Housing Target (Need)</u>	<u>Minimum %</u>	<u>Maximum %</u>	<u>3% - 1 - 2 bedroom apartments</u>	<u>1%</u>	<u>5%</u>	<u>14% - 2 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>11%</u>	<u>17%</u>	<u>56% - 3 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>51%</u>	<u>61%</u>	<u>22% - 4 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>19%</u>	<u>25%</u>	<u>6% - 5 + bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>4%</u>	<u>8%</u>	<p>examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p>		<p>summary of this assessment is as follows:</p> <p>No significant negative or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policy will have a significant positive effect on material assets through ensuring that the housing mix of the area reflects that needed by the population and can be as flexible as possible as the needs of the population change. This will particularly help to plan for the ageing population as the plan addresses special needs accommodation. The policy will have a neutral effect on the other SA objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i></p>
<u>Market Housing Target (Need)</u>	<u>Minimum %</u>	<u>Maximum %</u>																					
<u>3% - 1 - 2 bedroom apartments</u>	<u>1%</u>	<u>5%</u>																					
<u>14% - 2 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>11%</u>	<u>17%</u>																					
<u>56% - 3 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>51%</u>	<u>61%</u>																					
<u>22% - 4 bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>19%</u>	<u>25%</u>																					
<u>6% - 5 + bedroom dwellings</u>	<u>4%</u>	<u>8%</u>																					

**Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening**

Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions																		
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="774 447 1498 982"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="774 447 1056 533"><u>Affordable Housing Target (Need)</u></th> <th data-bbox="1056 447 1249 533"><u>Minimum %</u></th> <th data-bbox="1249 447 1498 533"><u>Maximum %</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="774 533 1056 623"><del>22% 1-2 bedroom apartments</del></td> <td data-bbox="1056 533 1249 623"><u>19%</u></td> <td data-bbox="1249 533 1498 623"><u>25%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="774 623 1056 714"><del>47% 2 bedroom dwellings</del></td> <td data-bbox="1056 623 1249 714"><u>42%</u></td> <td data-bbox="1249 623 1498 714"><u>52%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="774 714 1056 804"><del>24% 3 bedroom dwellings</del></td> <td data-bbox="1056 714 1249 804"><u>21%</u></td> <td data-bbox="1249 714 1498 804"><u>27%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="774 804 1056 894"><del>6% 4 bedroom dwellings</del></td> <td data-bbox="1056 804 1249 894"><u>4%</u></td> <td data-bbox="1249 804 1498 894"><u>8%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="774 894 1056 982"><del>1% 5 + bedroom dwellings</del></td> <td data-bbox="1056 894 1249 982"><u>1%</u></td> <td data-bbox="1249 894 1498 982"><u>3%</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><del>i) Proposals housing will where they that largely following:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>22% 1-2 apartments</del></li> <li><del>47% 2 dwellings</del></li> <li><del>24% 3 dwellings</del></li> <li><del>6% 4 dwellings</del></li> <li><del>1% 5 + dwellings</del></li> </ul> <p>ii) <u>An alternative mix Exceptions</u> will be supported where <del>this is they are</del> evidenced by a <u>more up-to-date and</u> robust assessment of needs at an appropriate local level <u>or is are necessary to respond to particular local circumstances.</u></p> <p><u>Housing Standards</u></p> <p><del>B C</del> To meet needs of the District’s residents and to deliver dwellings which are capable of meeting peoples’ changing circumstances over their lifetime the following standards will need to be met:</p> <p>i) 50% of all <u>new dwellings (except for sites of less than 10 dwellings on previously developed land)</u> <del>will</del> <u>should</u> be built to accessibility standards as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Market dwellings - <del>45%</del> <u>50%</u> to M4(2) <del>and a further 5% to M4(3)</del></li> <li>• Affordable dwellings - 40% to M4(2) and a further 10% to M4(3)</li> </ul> <p>ii) It would be expected that these standards will be implemented proportionately across the housing <del>sizes identified in parts B and C of this policy-</del> <u>mix unless there are site specific factors such as vulnerability to flooding, site topography, and other circumstances which may make a specific site less suitable for M4(2) and M4(3) compliant dwellings, including where step free access cannot be achieved or is not viable.</u></p> <p>iii) The internal floor area of all new build dwellings must meet the National Space Standards as a minimum.</p> <p>iv) All new dwellings shall include water efficiency measures to comply with a limit of 110 litres per person per day</p> <p><u>Specialist Accommodation</u></p>	<u>Affordable Housing Target (Need)</u>	<u>Minimum %</u>	<u>Maximum %</u>	<del>22% 1-2 bedroom apartments</del>	<u>19%</u>	<u>25%</u>	<del>47% 2 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>42%</u>	<u>52%</u>	<del>24% 3 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>21%</u>	<u>27%</u>	<del>6% 4 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>4%</u>	<u>8%</u>	<del>1% 5 + bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>1%</u>	<u>3%</u>	<p><del>for affordable be supported provide a mix reflects the</del></p> <p><u>bedroom</u></p> <p><u>bedroom</u></p> <p><u>bedroom</u></p> <p><u>bedroom</u></p> <p><u>bedroom</u></p>		
<u>Affordable Housing Target (Need)</u>	<u>Minimum %</u>	<u>Maximum %</u>																					
<del>22% 1-2 bedroom apartments</del>	<u>19%</u>	<u>25%</u>																					
<del>47% 2 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>42%</u>	<u>52%</u>																					
<del>24% 3 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>21%</u>	<u>27%</u>																					
<del>6% 4 bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>4%</u>	<u>8%</u>																					
<del>1% 5 + bedroom dwellings</del>	<u>1%</u>	<u>3%</u>																					

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p><b>E D) Schemes that provide specialised accommodation whilst promoting independent living will be supported provided all of the following criteria are met:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i. the type of specialised accommodation proposed meets identified District needs and contributes to maintaining the balance of the housing stock in the locality;</b></li> <li><b>ii. the proposal provides easy access to services and facilities, including public transport, enabling its residents’ to live independently as part of the community;</b></li> <li><b>iii. the design of the proposal, including any individual units of accommodation, is capable of meeting the specialised accommodation support and care needs of the occupier;</b></li> <li><b>iv. arrangements are in place to ensure the delivery of appropriate care and support packages; and</b></li> <li><b>v. the proposal provides the required level of affordable housing where the housing falls within use class C3.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>The Council would support the provision of a dementia care housing scheme at Daventry town or the Primary Service Villages to help meet the identified need.</b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraphs 6.5.02, 6.5.04, 6.5.12, and add new paragraphs 6.5.18 and 6.5.19 as follows;</i></p>			
	6.5.02	<p>Add sentence as follows;</p> <p>It provides the basis for developers, housing providers, the local planning authorities and neighbourhood planning groups to identify the mix of housing that will best meet identified housing need and demands in particular localities, and help to create a more balanced housing market. This policy should be read alongside policy H2 of the WNJCS – Affordable Housing. <u>The Council will update this study to ensure it remains up-to-date. When the study is updated the latest version would need to be taken into account when establishing a suitable mix for development proposals.</u></p>	To reflect change to policy wording	As discussed at the examination	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
	Amend 6.5.04	<p>At the end of paragraph 6.5.04 insert;</p> <p><u>Policy HO8 sets out the target mix that developments should meet and an acceptable range. This range is proportionate to the level of need identified in the Housing Market Evidence Study and the ranges have been derived as a cascade from the housing type where the need is greatest. This is particularly important to ensure that the maximum acceptable proportion for property types where the need is lowest does not undermine the ability to meet the greatest level of need, because a greater proportion of one property type will inevitably mean a reduction in another property type which could result in significant variations from the identified needs. Consequently the ranges differ from a 2% range when the need is lowest, followed by a 3% range and then a 5% range where the need is at its greatest i.e. above 40% of the total need. These ranges also strike an appropriate balance between deliverability and flexibility to take into account different circumstances and sizes of site whilst also ensuring identified needs can be met. Furthermore as a consequence of the viability study and the practical difficulties of securing such a mix on smaller sites this mix does not apply to sites of less than 10 dwellings</u></p>	To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 24 and 28 documents.	This has been reviewed as part of changes to HO8.

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
	6.5.12  New paras 6.5.18 and 6.5.19	<p>Replace last sentence as follows: <del>A number of property types across the District have been assessed to establish compliance with the national space standard and this is set out in the Housing Background Paper<sup>1</sup> which identifies which property types meet and do not meet those National Space Standards. Of a sample of housing developments permitted in Daventry in the period 2011-14, most individual dwellings met or exceeded the proposed national space standard.</del></p> <p><b>Viability</b></p> <p><del>It is acknowledged that there may be particular site-specific circumstances of development proposals where viability evidence indicates that a fully policy compliant scheme is not viable when taking into account of the policy requirements of Policy HO8 and other policies of this plan, together with those in the WNJCS. In such situations, local circumstances, for example any outstanding affordable need, will need to be taken into account in informing decisions as to which policy requirements of Policy HO8 and other policies of the Plan should be prioritised, when seeking to achieve a viable form of development.</del></p> <p><del>With regard to the above, the 2017 Local Plan viability assessment<sup>2</sup> indicated that developments of smaller sites (predominantly less than 10 dwellings) particularly those on previously developed land, may have difficulties in meeting the full level of policy compliance taking into account the policies of this plan and those of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy. Consequently some components of this policy, such as the accessibility standards, do not apply to developments of less than 10 dwellings situated on previously developed land.</del></p>	<p>To ensure wording more accurately reflects the evidence base.</p> <p>To indicate the approach to proposals that are not viable.</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination.</p> <p>As discussed at the examination. EXAM 24 document</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p> <p>This has been reviewed as part of changes to HO8.</p>
MM9	HO9 and paras 6.6.01, 6.6.02, 6.6.05, 6.6.06, 6.6.07, 6.6.10 and 6.6.12	<p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>Policy heading:</p> <p>The policy <del>replaces supersedes</del> policy H6 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy <del>insofar as it relates to Daventry District</del></p> <p>Policy:</p> <p><b>A. Applications for planning permission must meet the following criteria:</b></p> <p><b>i. The site has safe and convenient vehicular access from the public highway, and provides adequate space for parking, turning and servicing on-site.</b></p> <p><b>ii. The site is reasonably accessible to a range of services set out in national policy, i.e. shops, public transport, primary health care and schools.</b></p>	To seek to ensure existing sites are retained	As discussed at the examination	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> - - see Table SA Table 3B(13) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:</p> <p>No significant (negative or positive) or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policy will have a minor positive effect on material assets through setting out the provision that will be</p>

<sup>1</sup> Housing Background Paper, Version 3, December 2018 available from: <https://www.daventrydc.gov.uk/EasySiteWeb/GatewayLink.aspx?alId=48959>

<sup>2</sup> GEN01 – Daventry Local Plan Part 2 Viability Report & GEN01A – Daventry Local Plan Viability Update Addendum Report: <https://www.daventrydc.gov.uk/living/planning-policy/part-2-local-plan/evidence-base/>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
	6.6.01 and 6.6.02	<p><b>iii. The site will provide an acceptable standard of amenity for the proposed residents. Sites which are exposed to high levels of flood risk and noise and air pollution are not acceptable.</b></p> <p><b>iv. The site will be capable of providing adequate on-site services for water supply, power, drainage, sewage disposal, waste disposal, composting and recycling facilities.</b></p> <p><b>v. The scale and location of the site will not have an unacceptable impact on the landscape, local infrastructure and existing communities.</b></p> <p><b>vi. In the case of sites for travelling showpeople there will be sufficient space for the storage and maintenance of equipment and the parking and manoeuvring of all vehicles associated with the occupiers. Additional screening may be required having regard to the nature of the equipment that is being stored.</b></p> <p><b><u>B. The Council will seek to protect existing sites for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople within the District from alternative forms of development.</u></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraphs 6.6.01, 6.6.02, 6.6.05, 6.6.06, 6.6.07, 6.6.10 and 6.6.12 as follows:</i></p> <p>Add wording as follows:</p> <p>6.6.01 second bullet point;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify a supply of specific developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years six <del>and seven</del> <u>to ten</u> and where possible for years eleven to fifteen;</li> </ul> <p>6.6.02</p> <p>Policy H6 of the WNJCS sets out the requirement for pitches and plots across the District in the period to 2029. This requirement was based on an assessment of need undertaken in 2013 i.e. predating revised government guidance published in August 2015 <u>which included a change to the definition of travellers for planning purposes. The key change that was made to both definitions was the removal of the term relating to persons who have ceased to travel, 'who on grounds of their own or their family's or dependents' education or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently' (PPTS 2012), which means that those who have ceased to travel will not now fall under the planning definition of a traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA. Ethnic status is not affected by the change in definition and therefore Romany Gypsies and Irish and Scottish Travellers are protected by existing equality legislation (Equalities Act 2010) should they be able to demonstrate a right to culturally appropriate accommodation. For those that no longer meet the current planning definition, applications will be assessed against policies elsewhere in this plan, in particular those in chapter 5, chapter 6 and chapter 9.</u></p>	To further explain how the plan has responded to the changes in the national planning advice.	As discussed at the examination	<p>made for gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople sites within the area based on an up to date assessment of need. The policy will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the other SA objectives through setting clear criteria regarding the acceptability of sites.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b></i></p> <p><b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</b></p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
	6.6.05	Delete last sentence of 6.6.05:  Since the base date, a further three pitches have been granted planning permission and these permissions have been implemented. These are in Arthingworth <sup>3</sup> and Weedon <sup>4</sup> . <del>There are currently no transit sites or emergency stopping places within the District.</del>	Sentence moved to new para 6.6.07	As discussed at the examination.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
	6.6.06 and new para	The needs identified in the 2017 study and provision to date is set out in table 6 below. Regarding the need for residential pitches the study identified that as no Gypsy and Traveller households meet the planning definition the need for pitches is 0. It then identified that of 24 unknown households that could meet the definition 6 pitches could be needed up to 2029 based on household formation rates. <del>However, the study acknowledged that there is a high degree of uncertainty about this and that 'need' would not meet the definition.</del> The study then <del>identified that applying</del> <u>recommended that a national average of 10% should be applied to</u> the number of pitches that could be required <u>from unknown households to determine the level of need from households that are likely to meet the planning definition, and that a criteria based policy should be used to address this need. This resulted in a likely need for 1 additional pitch for the period to 2029. Since the completion of the 2017 GTAA recent evidence indicates that the national average for households that meet the planning definition may potentially be up to 25% resulting in a potential need for 2 additional pitches arising from unknown households. This is now considered to be an appropriate basis for establishing the need for residential pitches and the need will be addressed through the criteria set out in Policy HO9. <del>'national average (of unknown households that actually meet the definition) to the needs for 6 pitches to 2029 results in a need for 1 additional pitch. This is considered to be an appropriate basis for establishing the need for residential pitches.</del></u>  Insert new 6.6.07 and renumber subsequent paragraphs, as follows;  <u>There are currently no transit sites or emergency stopping places within the District. The Countywide Travellers Unit (CTU) advocates a countywide, managed approach for dealing with all unauthorised encampments. The Council, along with the CTU, and other councils that form the CTU, will work together to identify opportunities for the provision of emergency stopping places, using a strategic managed approach rather than through the allocation of sites.</u>	To provide an updated position regarding unknown households that could meet the definition based on a 'national' average according to Opinion Research Services evidence.	As discussed at the examination	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
	6.6.07 (now 6.6.08)	The needs for Travelling Showpeople plots arise from a small number of households in need of a new yard due to over-crowding or concealed families within these households. The existing yard is situated within Daventry District and discussions are ongoing to establish <u>if</u> a suitable alternative site for the households <u>can be found within the District</u> to relocate to. The Council is committed to working proactively to address this issue; <del>however the households are also looking at alternative sites outside of the District.</del>	To further clarify the position regarding Travelling Showpeople's plots.	As discussed at the examination.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
	6.6.10 (now 6.6.11)	However, following the publication of the 2017 study and the revised needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Community the figures in Policy H6 are now out-of-date and therefore are superseded by Policy HO9 below. The remaining part of policy H6, the criteria currently being used in determining planning applications, has been replicated within this policy and therefore when adopted this policy will <del>replace</del> <u>supersede</u> policy H6 of the WNJCS insofar as it relates to Daventry District. This will ensure that new provision is <del>located</del> <u>situated</u> in appropriate locations. This will include ensuring that proposals have safe access, are accessible to a range of services and facilities, provide an acceptable level of amenity for residents and not have an unacceptable impact on the landscape, local infrastructure and existing communities. <u>In accordance with national policy the Council will assess the suitability in rural or semi-rural settings to ensure that proposed site scale will not dominate the nearest settled community. In assessing proposals against this policy it will be</u>	To clarify the relationship between the Part 2 Local Plan and the WNJCS and the relationship with other policies of the plan.	As discussed at the examination.	This has been reviewed as part of changes to HO8.

<sup>3</sup> Golden Stables- 2 pitches - DA/2015/0083

<sup>4</sup> Stowe Hill - 1 pitch - DA/2011/0382

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening																	
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions												
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>															
	6.6.12 (now 6.6.13)	<del>necessary to take account of the other policies in this plan including those within Chapter 5 and Chapter 9.</del>  <u>The most up-to-date evidence as set out in Table 6 indicates that there is no residual need for residential pitches. Furthermore, the identified needs for Travelling Showpeople and emergency stopping places, include households that are considering sites both within and outside of Daventry District. . Therefore</u> in order that the policy can remain up to date and respond to changing identified need, the policy does not include specific figures for the level of provision, but refers to the need identified in the evidence base produced by the Council, which, during the plan period will be <u>periodically</u> updated.	Further clarification of the text. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 28 document.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.												
MM10	EC1 and para 7.1.08 and new table 7	Amend Part B of EC1 as follows;  i. <b>Not result in the loss of A1 retail floorspace in the over-dominance of non-retail use within a the Primary Shopping a-Frontage (as defined on the Daventry Town Centre Inset Map) unless the alternative town centre use contributes to the vitality and viability of the Town Centre. In assessing whether a proposal contributes to the vitality and viability of the town centre regard will be had to avoiding the concentration of non-A1 town centre uses within a primary frontage to the extent that the retail character of the frontage is undermined.; and</b>  ii. <b>Provide an active frontage and be open for business during the day.</b>  <i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 7.1.08 as follows:</i>  It is important that the retail function of the primary shopping area, <u>in particular the Primary Shopping Frontage</u> is maintained to ensure that the retail offer functions effectively and supports the overall vitality and viability of the town centre. <del>Therefore in this area, proposals for town centre uses should not result in over-dominance of non-retail use within a frontage. This is Table 7 below identifies the level of A1 retail within the Primary Shopping Frontages as at April 2019 and demonstrates that whilst A1 retail is the predominant use there are significant frontages, e.g. High Street, where the level of A1 use is proportionately lower and at risk of not being the predominant use. To seek to safeguard the High Street and the other Primary Frontages, A1 retail uses should be retained</del> to ensure that the retail character and function is not eroded and continues to be the primary form of provision <del>in these areas. However alternative main town centre uses (as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework) may be acceptable within Primary Shopping Frontages when they contribute to the vitality and viability of the Town Centre and avoid the concentration of non-A1 town centre uses within a primary frontage to the extent that the retail character of the frontage is undermined.</del>  <u>Table 7 – Proportion of A1 Retail Uses at the Primary Shopping Frontages</u>  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Total Frontage (metres)</u></th> <th><u>Total A1 Frontage (metres)</u></th> <th><u>Percentage A1 Use</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Primary Frontage</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Bishops Court</u></td> <td>98</td> <td>98</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<u>Total Frontage (metres)</u>	<u>Total A1 Frontage (metres)</u>	<u>Percentage A1 Use</u>	<u>Primary Frontage</u>				<u>Bishops Court</u>	98	98	100%	To provide greater clarity about where the policy would apply. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the Examination. EXAM 28 document.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
	<u>Total Frontage (metres)</u>	<u>Total A1 Frontage (metres)</u>	<u>Percentage A1 Use</u>														
<u>Primary Frontage</u>																	
<u>Bishops Court</u>	98	98	100%														

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening																						
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording				Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions														
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>																				
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Bowen Square</u></td> <td><u>403</u></td> <td><u>320</u></td> <td><u>79%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Foundry Walk</u></td> <td><u>142</u></td> <td><u>128</u></td> <td><u>90%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>High Street</u></td> <td><u>618</u></td> <td><u>340</u></td> <td><u>55%</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Sheaf Street</u></td> <td><u>251</u></td> <td><u>181</u></td> <td><u>72%</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Bowen Square</u>	<u>403</u>	<u>320</u>	<u>79%</u>	<u>Foundry Walk</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>90%</u>	<u>High Street</u>	<u>618</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>55%</u>	<u>Sheaf Street</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>181</u>	<u>72%</u>				
<u>Bowen Square</u>	<u>403</u>	<u>320</u>	<u>79%</u>																			
<u>Foundry Walk</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>90%</u>																			
<u>High Street</u>	<u>618</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>55%</u>																			
<u>Sheaf Street</u>	<u>251</u>	<u>181</u>	<u>72%</u>																			
		Note. Amendments to the policies maps as a consequence of the policy changes are shown in Appendix 2 to this schedule.																				
MM11	EC4 and 7.2.10 (new)	Amend EC4 as follows; <b>A. To ensure a vibrant economy proposals for B1 (b,c) ,B2 and B8 uses will be supported. The expansion of any existing businesses within these use classes will be supported, provided it promotes and supports the role and performance of the employment area and does not harm the amenity of surrounding residential properties. <u>To enhance the range and choice of units available for businesses in Strategic Employment Areas, where possible, new development should provide for a range of unit sizes.</u></b>  <del><b>E. To ensure the sustainability and viability of the Strategic Employment Areas, new development should provide for a range of unit sizes, appropriate soft and hard landscaping, permeable surfaces and appropriately designed signage and lighting.</b></del>  <b><u>D. Further economic development (B1(b,c), B2 and B8 uses) beyond the defined Strategic Employment Areas will be supported where;</u></b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>It is well-related to the existing Strategic Employment Area; and</u></li> <li>ii. <u>It is of an appropriate scale and character; and</u></li> <li>iii. <u>It does not harm the amenity of surrounding residential properties; and</u></li> <li>iv. <u>It is demonstrated that it is necessary to support the role and function of the Strategic Employment Area or DIRFT; and</u></li> <li>v. <u>It is demonstrated that there is insufficient capacity within the Strategic Employment Area or DIRFT (as applicable) where the development is intended to support or enhance that location, to accommodate the proposed development. Where development is intended to support or enhance DIRFT, lack of capacity within both DIRFT and the Crick Strategic Employment Area will need to be demonstrated.</u></li> </ol> <b><u>E. New development should provide for appropriate soft and hard landscaping, permeable surfaces and appropriately designed signage and lighting.</u></b>  The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 7.2.10 as follows:				To allow for expansion of existing Strategic Employment Areas where appropriate. To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination  EXAM 15 and 28 documents	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> - - see Table SA Table 3B(17) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:  The policy will have no significant negative or uncertain effects. The policy will be significantly positive in protecting and enhancing the role of the Strategic Employment Areas which make an important contribution to Daventry's economy. The policy will have a neutral effect on the rest of the sustainability objectives.  There may be circumstances where development could be outside the SEA but this doesn't affect the overall conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b>														

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p>Insert new paragraph 7.2.10 as follows, and renumber subsequent paragraphs:</p> <p><u>There may be some scope for the expansion of the Strategic Employment Areas where it is demonstrated that further capacity is needed to support the specific role and function of that Strategic Employment Area or DIRFT, for example when there is a particular existing business that is in need of additional space. In such cases proposals will be expected to be justified by clear evidence. However it is also important that such proposals are of an appropriate scale and character, that there is insufficient capacity within the existing employment area in terms of either land or vacant units that could be redeveloped to accommodate the needs and that the site is well-related to the existing Strategic Employment Area, forming a logical extension. The proposals will also need to be consistent with the other policies of this plan, in particular those relating to the environment.</u></p>			
MM12	EC6 and para 7.2.13	<p>Amend criterion i as follows;</p> <p><b>i. Provide for unit(s) not exceeding 10,000sqm. <del>Alternative unit sizes will be considered where demonstrated that they are required for an existing business located in Daventry district; and</del></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 7.2.13 as follows:</i></p> <p>Delete paragraph and renumber subsequent paragraphs as follows;</p> <p><del>In order to retain flexibility to respond to market signals, where an existing business located in Daventry is seeking to invest in a new unit in order to secure the future of their business or remain in the town, alternative unit sizes will be considered.</del></p> <p><i>NB. The modification to paragraph 7.2.13 also ensures consistency with MM13 and MM14 respectively.</i></p>	<p>To address comments at examination and in the Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p>	EXAM 28 document.	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(17) in Part 2B of the SA report A summary of this assessment is as follows:</p> <p>The site will have no significant negative effects.</p> <p>The site will have a significant positive effect on labour market and economy as it as it lies within the Marches employment area and provides an opportunity for further economic development in an existing and accessible employment area.</p> <p>The site will have an uncertain effect on biodiversity as there is a Potential Wildlife Site (site 44) present on site.</p> <p>The site will have a minor negative effect on air quality and noise. The site will have a neutral effect on the rest of the sustainability objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA</b></i></p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
MM13	EC7	Amend criterion ii as follows;  ii. <b>provide a mix of unit sizes, that individually do not exceed 20,000sqm and at least 50% of the total floorspace on the site to be provided in a range of unit sizes that individually do not exceed 10,000sqm. <del>Alternative unit sizes will be considered where demonstrated that they are required for an existing business located in Daventry district;</del></b>  <i>An associated modification to paragraph 7.2.13 is included in MM12.</i>	To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.	EXAM 28 document.	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(20) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:  The site will have no significant negative or uncertain effects. The site will have a significant positive effect on labour market and economy as the site is adjacent to Drayton Fields Industrial Estate and is accessible to Daventry town centre along the A45. The site will have a minor negative effect on air and noise and landscape and townscape. The site will have a neutral effect on the rest of the sustainability objectives.  <i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA</b></i>
MM14	EC9 and para 7.3.04	Amend criterion ii as follows;  ii. <b>Provide a mix of unit sizes not exceeding 10,000 sqm; <del>Alternative unit sizes will be considered where demonstrated that they are required for an existing business located in Daventry district;</del> and</b>  Add new criterion viii, subsequent criteria to be renumbered (ix to xii):  <b><u>viii. Retain the openness of the area shown as a heritage buffer on the Policies Map in perpetuity to sustain the significance and setting of the Burnt Walls Scheduled Monument. Proposals for positive and appropriate land management and works that would better reveal the significance of Burnt Walls will be supported; and</u></b>	To address comments at examination and in the Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.  To address concerns raised by Historic England regarding potential impact on the adjacent scheduled monument	EXAM 28 document.  To address concerns raised by Historic England regarding potential impact on the adjacent scheduled monument  SCG01 Statement of Common Ground between the Council and Historic England.	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(22) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows:  The site will have no significant positive, negative or uncertain effects. The site will also have minor negative effects air quality and noise, biodiversity, archaeology and cultural heritage, landscape and townscape and

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 7.3.04 as follows:</i></p> <p>Para 7.3.04, add new text following '.....Daventry Wood Farm adjacent to the southern part of the site.' as follows;</p> <p><u>The setting of Burnt Walls was historically an open agrarian landscape and the scheduled monument derives considerable significance from its relationship with its hinterland. Land to the north and east of the monument continues to provide an open rural landscape setting. Whilst the setting to the west of the monument has been altered by urbanisation as Daventry has grown, land which forms the immediate setting remains open and connects Burnt Walls to the historic route way (the modern A45), which makes it important to the significance of the scheduled monument and sensitive to change. The open land immediately to the west of Burnt Walls is shown as a heritage buffer within the Daventry South East Gateway allocation. Maintaining its openness will contribute towards sustaining the significance and setting of the scheduled monument and safeguard the land against inappropriate development.</u> Borough Hill, which is also a Scheduled Monument and Newnham Hill flank the site and form an important part of the setting of the town. The western part of the site has previously been quarried, however, there is potential for archaeological remains to be present in the remainder of the site.</p> <p>Note. Amendments to the policies maps as a consequence of the policy changes are shown in Appendix 2 to this schedule.</p> <p><i>NB. An associated modification to paragraph 7.2.13 is included in MM12.</i></p>			<p>soil, geology and land use. The site will have a minor positive effect on labour market and the economy and a neutral effect on the rest of the sustainability objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA</i></p>
MM15	EC10 and para 7.4.02	<p>Amend Part D as follows;</p> <p><b>D. For proposals within DIRFT 3, development will reflect that of the Development Consent Order-<del>masterplan</del></b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 7.4.02 as follows:</i></p> <p>After "and restaurants" insert new sentence as follows:</p> <p><u>It is expected that proposals within DIRFT 3 will be for strategic B8 uses under the terms of the DCO however it is acknowledged that other ancillary B class employment uses may also be necessary as part of B8 proposals to assist with the operation of such units.</u></p>	<p>To clarify that proposals should reflect the Development Consent Order.</p> <p>To clarify that DIRFT is principally for strategic B8 uses, but that other B class uses may also be necessary to assist with the operation of such units.</p>	<p>PMM06.</p> <p>As discussed at the examination.</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p> <p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
MM16	ST1	Amend parts D and E of ST1 as follows:  <b>D. In assessing <u>development proposals that seek to introduce improved linkages, including those identified in parts A, B and C</u>, regard will be had to the impact on the character of any affected settlement and its surroundings.</b>  <b><u>Electric Vehicles</u></b>  <b>E. <u>Where practical, Pproposals should to incorporate appropriate infrastructure to support electric vehicle charging will be supported.</u></b>	To clarify how the policies will be implemented and address comments in Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.  To ensure consistency with paragraph 35 of the NPPF	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 28 document.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM17	ST2 and para 8.2.01	Include additional text in criterion i as follows;  i. <b><u>Be located adjacent to the strategic road network or other major roads in particular the M1, A5 and A14 corridors; and</u></b>  <i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 8.2.01 as follows:</i>  There is a high level of lorry traffic in the District due to the presence of a large number of companies which rely on lorry movements, as well as the logistics companies which are located across the District, in particular at DIRFT near the A5 and M1. Further demand for such facilities is influenced by the location of the District relative to the ports ( <u>served by the M1 and A14 corridors</u> ) and the need for drivers to take breaks at the time when they arrive. <u>The 2017 National Survey of Lorry Parking identified that there were particular issues of lorry parking at Junction 18 of the M1, where DIRFT is situated.</u> It is therefore important that there is sufficient suitable lorry parking facilities available to allow for comfort breaks and waiting time. Such facilities would normally be expected to include toilets, shower facilities, eating facilities and bin storage.	To provide further clarity to the policy and reflect the particularly important locations where lorry parking would be best located.  To make reference to the 2017 National Survey of Lorry Parking.	As discussed at the examination.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.  Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM18	ENV1 and paras 9.1.05, 9.1.06, 9.1.07	Amend the policy as follows;  <b>A. The Council will support proposals that maintain the distinctive character and quality of the District’s landscapes, as defined in the Daventry District Landscape Character Assessment 2017. <u>In doing so, it will take into consideration the cumulative impact of development proposals on the quality of the landscape. In particular, development will be expected to:</u></b>  <b>B. <u>Where appropriate, applicants will be expected to demonstrate that their proposal:</u></b>  i. <b><u>Respects the local distinctiveness and historic character of the particular landscape character area in which it is located; and</u></b>  ii. <b><u>Respects existing patterns of development and distinctive features that make a positive contribution to the character, history or setting of a settlement or area such as key buildings, village skylines and ridgelines; and</u></b>	Re-order parts of policy to improve clarity.  To clarify how cumulative impact will be taken into account  To provide clarity on how part A (submission version) of the policy will be implemented.	As discussed at the examination.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p>iii. <b>Avoid<del>s</del></b> creating hard developed edges to the open countryside; and</p> <p>iv. <b>Avoid<del>s</del></b> physical and visual coalescence between settlements; and</p> <p>v. <b>Enhance<del>s</del></b> and restore<del>s</del> landscape features where the opportunity arises; and</p> <p>vi. <b>Incorporate<del>s</del></b> mitigation measures to integrate development into its surroundings and enhance or restore the local landscape.</p> <p><del><b>B. The Council will take into account the cumulative impact of development proposals on the quality of the landscape.</b></del></p> <p><b>C. Development proposals should include, <u>where</u> appropriate to their scale, use and location, an assessment of the likely visual impacts on the local landscape and the site's immediate and wider setting. This will include the landscape capacity of the site's immediate and wider setting to accommodate the development in accordance with the Daventry District Landscape Character Assessment and the Council's Landscape Assessment Toolkit. Applications for major developments and where the Council identifies that a proposal would have an adverse impact on the landscape, may require a full landscape and visual impact assessment, which should be submitted as part of the planning application.</b></p> <p><b>D. Proposals that would cause landscape harm will be required to demonstrate that the harm can be successfully mitigated through an appropriate landscape treatment in keeping with the landscape character area.</b></p> <p><b>E. Provision should be made for the long term management and maintenance (minimum of five years) of new landscape proposals to ensure their establishment.</b></p> <p><b>F. The identification of <del>suitably evidenced</del> local landscape designations in <del>the</del> neighbourhood <u>development Plans</u> will be supported.</b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraphs 9.1.05, 9.1.06 and 9.1.07 as follows:</i></p> <p>Move second sentence of 9.1.07 to end of 9.1.05, move 9.1.06 to after 9.1.07, add text to 9.1.07, as follows;</p> <p>9.1.05 Policy ENV1 aims to ensure that development has regard to landscape character and quality, using the evidence provided by the 2017 Daventry District Landscape Character Assessment. This evidence should be referred to for information about the characteristics and features of each character area and landscape elements that would benefit from enhancement. <u>The policy also requires the consideration of cumulative impacts of development, which includes existing development, allocations, permissions and other extant applications, including those in adjacent authorities.</u></p> <p><del>9.1.06 The Landscape Study identifies landscape designations at a District level (Special Landscape Area and Green Wedge). However, if groups engaged in neighbourhood planning consider that</del></p>	<p>To further clarify how cumulative impact will be taken into account when assessing applications.</p> <p>To make it clear that not all criteria will be applicable to all development proposals</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination</p>	

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p><del>the landscapes in their neighbourhood area are of local importance, the Council supports local landscape designations in neighbourhood plans. Such designations must be supported by an independent landscape assessment that has regard to the NPPF and uses a methodology that is consistent with the principles and methods set out in current guidance produced by Natural England, the Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment as appropriate.</del></p> <p>9.1.076 <u>The criteria in part B of policy ENV1 would not be applicable to all development proposals. They are unlikely to apply to minor and householder applications and would be more appropriate for major development proposals in particular outside the confines of settlements.</u> Consideration of the need to avoid both physical and visual coalescence between settlements is also important, to ensure that settlements maintain their individuality and setting. <del>The policy also requires the consideration of cumulative impacts of development, which includes existing development, allocations, permissions and other extant applications, including those in adjacent authorities.</del> Where a proposal would result in landscape harm, the general principle is that it should be refused, unless there would be an over-riding public benefit of the development, for instance, to meet an evidenced local housing need. In such cases, an effective landscape scheme would be crucial to mitigate any harm. Mitigation measures could include woodland and tree planting consistent with landscape character to screen or background development, or enhancement of open space and existing hedgerow boundaries.</p> <p>9.1.067 <u>The Landscape Study identifies landscape designations at a District level (Special Landscape Area and Green Wedge). However, if groups engaged in neighbourhood planning consider that the landscapes in their neighbourhood area are of local importance, the Council supports the principle of including local landscape designations in neighbourhood development plans. However such designations must be supported by an independent landscape assessment that has regard to the NPPF and use a methodology that is consistent with the principles and methods set out in current guidance produced by Natural England, the Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment as appropriate.</u></p>			
MM19	ENV2	<p><b>A. The Council will protect the special qualities of the District’s areas of high quality landscape which are designated as Special Landscape Areas, including their landscape and heritage features and cultural associations. The Council will support proposals that make a positive contribution to their special qualities. <del>and will resist proposals that would have a harmful effect on their special qualities. Where the Council identifies that a proposal is likely to have an adverse impact on a Special Landscape Area, applicants will be required to:</del></b></p> <p><b>B. The Council will consider the impact of proposals on the special qualities of the <u>Special Landscape Areas, including cumulative impacts, and will resist proposals that would have a harmful effect on their special qualities that cannot be successfully mitigated. To assist the assessment of proposals, applicants will be required to:</u></b></p> <p><b>i. Assess the likely impacts of the development on its special qualities, the level of detail of the assessment will be proportionate to the nature and scale of the proposal; and</b></p>	<p>To further clarify how cumulative impact will be taken into account when assessing applications in the Special Landscape Area and address comments in Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.</p> <p>To provide clarity.</p>	<p>As discussed at the examination. EXAM 28 document.</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p><b>ii. Demonstrate that the impact of the harm can be successfully mitigated through an appropriate landscape treatment in keeping with the Special Landscape Area and landscape character type.</b></p> <p><b><del>B. The Council will take into account the cumulative impact of development proposals on the special qualities of the Special Landscape Areas.</del></b></p> <p><b>The Special Landscape Areas are identified on the Policies and Inset Maps.</b></p>			
MM20	ENV3 and 9.2.01	<p>Amend ENV3 as follows:</p> <p><b>A. To protect the identity, character and setting of settlements within the areas that fringe Daventry and Northampton, proposals within the Green Wedges will be required to demonstrate that they would maintain <u>the physical and visual separation between settlements.</u></b></p> <p><del><b>i. The physical and visual separation between settlements; and</b></del></p> <p><del><b>ii. The openness around settlements and their settings.</b></del></p> <p><b>B. The Council will support proposals that contribute towards increased public access to, and enjoyment of, the Green Wedges, particularly from the Sustainable Urban Extensions in the Northampton Related Development Area, providing they are compatible with <del>i) to ii) above A.</del></b></p> <p><b>The designated areas of Green Wedge are shown on the Policies and Inset Maps.</b></p> <p><i>The following associated modifications are made to paragraph 9.2.01, as follows:</i></p> <p>Amend paragraph 9.2.01 as follows;</p> <p>The Green Wedges therefore have the function of protecting the identity and setting of <u>fringe</u> villages and preventing <del>the</del> coalescence of <del>settlements, which can be achieved by ensuring that development will maintain the openness around settlements</del> <u>the villages with the nearby towns.</u></p> <p>Note. Amendments to the proposed area designated as Green Wedge as a consequence of the policy changes are shown in Appendix 2 to this schedule.</p>	As discussed at the examination, the original proposal to protect the openness of this area has been taken out of this policy, and the designated area, and now focusses solely on preventing coalescence.	As discussed at the examination.	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(25) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies ENV1, ENV2, ENV3, ENV4):</p> <p>No significant negative or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policies will have a significant positive effect on landscape and townscape as they seek to ensure that the natural and cultural environment of Special Landscape Areas including the Nene corridor are enhanced and protected in recognition of their contribution to the area’s landscape, townscapes, regeneration, recreation and historic environment. In addition the policies will ensure protection of the Green Wedges in the District which help to protect the identity, character and setting of settlements within the fringe areas of Daventry and Northampton</p> <p>The policies will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the other sustainability objectives.</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			<i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i>
MM21	ENV4	<p>Re-order policy criteria, delete all but the last 'and' at the end of each criterion and split current criterion i into two criteria as follows;</p> <p><b>The Council will protect, enhance and restore the District's green infrastructure assets in order to create a comprehensive network that contributes to the full range of ecosystem services including quality of life, biodiversity, sustainable transport and climate change mitigation by:</b></p> <p><del>iii i. Working with partners, including neighbouring authorities and the Local Nature Partnership, to plan for green infrastructure at a landscape scale. In particular, proposals will be supported that would contribute to the aims and objectives of the Nene Valley Nature Improvement Area project on habitat restoration, creation and connectivity; and</del></p> <p><del>i. ii. Supporting proposals that protect, enhance and restore the existing green infrastructure network of sub-regional and local corridors identified in the WNJCS. Proposals will be expected to demonstrate how they would achieve this and in the case of new green infrastructure, how they would link into the existing networks; <b>Strategic development sites should be masterplanned as a whole to show the location of new on-site strategic green infrastructure and how it relates to the wider network. Proposals should not lead to fragmentation of a green infrastructure link; and</b></del></p> <p><del>ii. Working with partners, including neighbouring authorities and the Local Nature Partnership, to plan for green infrastructure at a landscape scale. In particular, proposals will be supported that would contribute to the aims and objectives of the Nene Valley Nature Improvement Area project on habitat restoration, creation and connectivity; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. Supporting proposals that protect, connect and extend the local green links and network of green infrastructure within and around Daventry town; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. Strategic development sites should be masterplanned as a whole to show the location of new on-site strategic green infrastructure and how it relates to the wider network. Proposals should not lead to fragmentation of a green infrastructure link; and</del></p> <p>iv. Supporting proposals that avoid fragmentation of green links and that would reconnect existing gaps in provision; and</p> <p><del>iii. v. Supporting proposals that protect, connect and extend the local green links and network of green infrastructure within and around Daventry town; and <b>that limit any loss to that necessary to accommodate infrastructure improvements required to deliver allocated sites, subject to such loss being mitigated to achieve a net enhancement in green infrastructure provision; and</b></del></p>	<p>Regarding changes to current criterion iii (proposed to be v):</p> <p>To clarify the relationship between policy ENV4 and sites allocated in the Part 2 Plan and the WNJCS.</p> <p>This also addresses issues raised in rep PS052/04 (Chapter 9 of Summary of Representations to Proposed Submission Plan and Suggested Response)</p> <p>Other Changes:</p> <p>To make the application of the policy clearer</p>	PMM01 and discussed at the examination.	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(25) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies ENV1, ENV2, ENV3, ENV4):</p> <p>No significant negative or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policies will have a significant positive effect on landscape and townscape as they seek to ensure that the natural and cultural environment of Special Landscape Areas including the Nene corridor are enhanced and protected in recognition of their contribution to the area's landscape, townscapes, regeneration, recreation and historic environment. In addition the policies will ensure protection of the Green Wedges in the District which help to protect the identity, character and setting of settlements within the fringe areas of Daventry and Northampton</p> <p>The policies will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the other sustainability objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i></p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
		<b>vi. Supporting the recognition of important green infrastructure including designation within neighbourhood development plans.</b>			
MM22	ENV5	<p>Amend wording as follows:</p> <p><b>A. The Council will support proposals that conserve and enhance designated <u>and undesignated areas sites</u> and species of national and local importance for biodiversity and geodiversity and contribute towards a resilient ecological network. <u>The level of protection should be proportionate to the site's designation status, the contribution it makes to the ecological network and take account of considerations in-line with their status-as</u> set out below:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Sites of national importance</b></li> </ul> <p>Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) are of national importance and development affecting them will be expected to avoid causing adverse effects, unless, in exceptional circumstances, it can be demonstrated that the benefits of the development clearly outweigh the nature conservation value or scientific interest of the site and its wider contribution to the biodiversity network.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Sites of local importance</b></li> </ul> <p>Development affecting sites of local importance for biodiversity and geodiversity including Local Nature Reserves (LNRs), Local Wildlife Sites (LWSs) and Local Geological Sites (LGeS), will be expected to avoid causing adverse effects unless it can be demonstrated that the benefits of development <u>clearly</u> outweigh the harm <u>and where measures to mitigate the harm can be put in place. Development that would result in the loss or deterioration of such sites or habitats that are irreplaceable will not be supported unless the need for and benefits of the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Undesignated sites</b></li> </ul> <p>Development affecting sites that are not formally designated but which make a positive contribution to biodiversity will be required to take into account their current or potential role in the District's wider biodiversity network.</p> <p><b>B. All proposals <u>likely to affect biodiversity</u> will be expected to assess their impact through an ecological assessment and include details of mitigation or compensation, where harm will be caused. The level of detail of the assessment will be proportionate to the significance of the asset and the scale of the proposal. <u>If significant harm cannot firstly be avoided, adequately mitigated or as a last resort, compensated for, or should a proposal lead to the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, then development will not be permitted.</u></b></p>	To ensure consistency with the NPPF and address comments in Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.	SGC02 Statement of Common Ground between the Council and Gladman.  EXAM 28 document.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM23	ENV6	<p>Amend part B.iv and C as follows;</p> <p><b>iv. Provide opportunities for <del>more-intensive</del> recreation: and</b></p>	To clarify the relationship of policy ENV6 with policy D3 of the WNJCS.  This would also address issues	Discussed at examination and agreed in response to Proposed Submission consultation	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
		<b>C Future development in close proximity to Daventry Country Park should <del>protect and preserve or</del> enhance the setting of the Daventry Reservoir Conservation Area and the Grand Union Canal Conservation Area.</b>	raised in response PSO52/05 (Chapter 9 of Summary of Representations to Proposed Submission Plan and Suggested Response)  To ensure consistency with the NPPF		
MM24	ENV7 and para 9.5.12	Amend policy ENV7 as follows; <b><u>A. Proposals affecting the historic environment must demonstrate a clear understanding of any potential impact on the significance of heritage assets and their setting; any description of significance and the contribution of setting should be proportionate to the asset's importance. As a minimum the Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record should be consulted.</u></b> <b><u>B. In decision making, great weight should be given to the conservation of heritage assets irrespective of the level of harm. The more important the asset, the greater the weight will be.</u></b> <b><u>C. Any harm to a designated heritage asset requires clear and convincing justification. Proposals that lead to substantial harm to or total loss of a designated heritage asset, or less than substantial harm to a designated heritage asset will be judged against the tests in the NPPF.</u></b> <b><u>D. The Council will <del>conserve</del> seek to sustain and enhance the historic environment of the District by supporting:</u></b> <b><u>i. <del>Proposals affecting heritage assets that demonstrate a clear understanding of the significance of the asset and its setting and to assess the impact of the proposal on significance through a heritage appraisal. The level of detail of the appraisal will be proportionate to the significance of the asset and the scale of the proposal;</del></u></b> <b><u>i. ii High quality proposals that respond positively to their context by reinforcing local distinctiveness including street pattern, siting, form, scale, mass, use, materials and architectural features. Proposals should have regard to other design policies and supplementary planning documents that have been adopted by the Council;</u></b> <b><u>ii. iii Proposals that make a positive contribution to, or which better reveal the significance of designated heritage assets;</u></b> <b><u>iii. iv Proposals that would conserve designated assets identified as being at risk. The Council will seek to proactively engage with owners of such assets to find solutions that will safeguard and secure the future of the asset, including where appropriate, their viable adaptation and re-use compatible with their character and significance;</u></b> <b><u>iv. v Proposals affecting conservation areas that would <del>conserve and where appropriate, sustain preserve</del> or enhance those elements that have been identified as making a positive contribution to the character and special architectural or historic interest</u></b>	Amendments to provide clarity and greater consistency with NPPF.  Re-ordering is to assist interpreting/ using policy	As discussed at examination	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(27) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies ENV7, ENV8, ENV9):  No significant negative or uncertain effects.  The policies will have a significant positive effect on landscape and townscape and archaeology and cultural heritage through ensuring that all new development protects and enhances the features which contribute to the character of an area, such as Conservation Areas, historical landscapes and the skyline and setting of towns and villages. New development should also be sympathetic to locally distinctive landscape features including safeguarding the route of the proposed cycle path, walkway and Canal arm through the Daventry North East Sustainable Urban Extension and also protect Borough Hill and Burnt Walls

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><b>of the area. Proposals that respond positively to the opportunity to enhance neutral or detracting elements of a conservation area, as identified through conservation area appraisals and management plans, will particularly be supported; and</b></p> <p><b>v. iii Proposals that <u>sustain preserve</u> or enhance key views of heritage assets and key views into and out of conservation areas identified in conservation area appraisals, landscape characterisation, neighbourhood development plans and village design statements;</b></p> <p><b>vi. iv Proposals that <u>sustain preserve or and</u> enhance traditional shopfronts, including the restoration of historic features and the sympathetic use of high quality fascia and projecting signs;</b></p> <p><b>vii. viii Proposals that are sympathetic to non-designated heritage assets (identified through a conservation area appraisal or other method) and their setting including their retention and re-use. In doing so, the impact of the scale of any harm or loss on the significance of the assets will be taken into consideration.</b></p> <p><del><b>B. Where proposals would result in harm or loss to a designated asset (that cannot be avoided, or avoidable adverse impacts mitigated), a clear and convincing justification for the harm, including public benefits, must be provided. Proposals will not be supported where the case for harm cannot be justified.</b></del></p> <p><del><b>C. Proposals for enabling development to secure the conservation of a historic asset will be considered against the importance of the asset, the nature of the harm caused to the asset and whether the harm can be justified against wider economic, social and environment considerations, in line with the Historic England guidance.</b></del></p> <p><i>The following associated modification is made to paragraph 9.5.12 as follows:</i></p> <p>Amend 9.5.12 as follows;</p> <p>However, for designated assets which are protected by legislation, the aim will be to <u>avoid or</u> minimise harm to their significance and look for opportunities to enhance or to better reveal their significance.</p>			<p>Scheduled Monuments and their settings.</p> <p>The policies will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the other sustainability objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i></p>
MM25	ENV8 and para 9.5.13	<p>Amend policy ENV8 as follows;</p> <p><b>A. The Council will support proposals that <u>sustain conserve</u> or enhance the significance of the Borough Hill and Burnt Walls Scheduled Monuments and their setting by:</b></p> <p><b>i. Maintaining the open landscape and visual link between Borough Hill and Burnt Walls Scheduled Monuments;</b></p>	To address concerns raised by Historic England	SCG01 Statement of Common Ground between the Council and Historic England.	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(27) in Part 2B of the SA

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><del>ii. Restricting development to the less sensitive areas immediately to the east of The Marches Strategic Employment Area and in the South East Gateway;</del></p> <p><u>ii. Retaining the openness of the area shown as a heritage buffer on the Policies Map in perpetuity, in accordance with criterion viii of policy EC9;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Enhancing the open areas of Burnt Walls by creating informal open space and improving public access between Burnt Walls and Borough Hill;</u> and</p> <p><b>iv. Taking the opportunity to interpret the site to enhance the understanding of the significance of the <u>scheduled</u> monuments and the links between them.</b></p> <p><i>The following associated modification is made to paragraph 9.5.13 as follows:</i></p> <p>Amendments and additional text as follows:</p> <p>9.5.13 Borough Hill is a particular feature of Daventry, situated on a large natural hill on the eastern edge of the town. The scheduled monument is a multi-period hillfort and one of the largest and most important in the country, with substantial surviving earthworks. The significance of the monument extends beyond its boundary due to the high potential for archaeological remains and the importance of the landscape setting, which has retained its openness. Although less is known about Burnt Walls Scheduled Monument <del>the its</del> proximity to Borough Hill <u>and the A45 (a historic route way)</u> is significant. The land between the two is highly sensitive and it is important that its openness is retained. <u>Many of the open areas around Burnt Walls are highly significant to its setting and some contain traces of ridge and furrow. In the context of the development of the Daventry South East Gateway, the area immediately to the west of Burnt Walls is located at the probable gateway to Burnt Walls and should be retained as open land to safeguard the historic relationship between Burnt Walls and the historic route way and to protect the scheduled monument from increasing urbanisation.</u></p>			<p>report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies ENV7, ENV8, ENV9):</p> <p>No significant negative or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policies will have a significant positive effect on landscape and townscape and archaeology and cultural heritage through ensuring that all new development protects and enhances the features which contribute to the character of an area, such as Conservation Areas, historical landscapes and the skyline and setting of towns and villages. New development should also be sympathetic to locally distinctive landscape features including safeguarding the route of the proposed cycle path, walkway and Canal arm through the Daventry North East Sustainable Urban Extension and also protect Borough Hill and Burnt Walls Scheduled Monuments and their settings.</p> <p>The policies will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the other sustainability objectives.</p> <p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i></p>
MM26	ENV9 and 9.6.04	<p>Amend policy ENV9 as follows:</p> <p>Add 'and viable' to part C:</p> <p><b>B. Where appropriate <u>and viable</u>, new development should utilise the availability of any local energy network, such as combined heat and power (CHP) system or generate their own energy from low carbon technology. Where district heating schemes are proposed, and it is reasonably practical, all properties will be expected to be connected to them.</b></p> <p><i>The following associated modification is made to paragraph 9.6.04 as follows:</i></p>	To provide further consistency with WNJCS	As discussed at the examination.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>			
		<p>Replace second sentence as follows:</p> <p><u>The Government set out that whilst the Code cannot be applied in full, local planning authorities can continue to set and apply policies which require compliance with the energy performance requirements that exceed building regulation requirements. The intention is that in accordance with Policy S11 of the WNJCS, dwellings shall include energy efficiency measures equivalent to those required to achieve level 4 of the former Code for Sustainable Homes. Whilst the Council cannot apply the Code for Sustainable Homes in full the Council can set and apply policies which require compliance with the energy performance requirements. Policy S11 of the WNJCS implements the energy efficiency part of the code on new dwellings.</u></p>			
MM27	ENV10	<p>Amend policy as follows;</p> <p><b>A. Development that is of a high quality and, in particular, proposals of an exemplary and innovative design that reflect and integrate with the surrounding area and create a strong sense of place, will be supported. <del>B. To achieve H</del>high quality design <del>development should meet all the following is achieved by:</del></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>i. <del>Promote Promoting</del> or <del>reinforce</del> reinforcing local distinctiveness and enhance-<del>ing</del> its surroundings;</b></li> <li><b>ii. <del>Take Taking</del> account of local building traditions and materials;</b></li> <li><b>iii. <del>Ensure Ensuring</del> that the scale, density, massing, height, layout and access of the proposal combine to ensure development blends well within the site and with its surroundings;</b></li> <li><b>iv. <del>Incorporate Incorporating</del> crime prevention measures in the site layout and building design;</b></li> <li><b>v. <del>Incorporate Integrating</del> existing landscape features of the site <del>and combine</del> with proposed landscaping and open space;</b></li> <li><b>vi. <del>Provide Providing</del> details of suitable comprehensive landscaping scheme;</b></li> <li><b>vii. Respond<del>ing</del> to wider landscape context; and</b></li> <li><b>viii. Protect<del>ing</del> the amenity of new and existing dwellings and not compromise the function of existing surrounding uses.</b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>B. Development of poor design that does not add to the character and quality of an area and the way it functions will not be supported.</u></b></p>	<p>To ensure that the policy is reasonable and justified.</p> <p>To safeguard against poor design</p>	<p>Suggested changes to A set out in response to matter 5 question 15 and new part B discussed at the examination</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening					
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>			
MM28	ENV11	Insert new part A and renumber subsequent parts:  <b>A. <u>Proposals for development will be subject to a sequential test and where necessary an exception test in accordance with Policy BN7 of West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy and the NPPF.</u></b>	To make it clear that development is subject to the sequential test and where necessary the exception test and address comments in Inspector’s post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination and identified in exam 28.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.
MM29	CW1 and 10.1.04	Amend CW1 as follows;  <b>A. To promote health and wellbeing and active and healthier lifestyles for all age groups the following will be supported:</b>  <b>i. Proposals that demonstrate consideration of all aspects of health and wellbeing issues in their design, layout, landscaping and mix of uses (including co-location of services) and provide sustainable links to the wider community, jobs, facilities and countryside;</b>  <b>ii. The <del>protection, management, and</del> enhancement of existing and provision of new community facilities and open spaces particularly where they would:</b>  <b><del>a. sport and recreation facilities (including multi-use community centres and dual use of school halls and sports facilities)</del></b>  <b><del>b. community and village halls</del></b>  <b><del>c. arts and cultural facilities</del></b>  <b><del>d. open space and green infrastructure</del></b>  <b><del>iii. The provision of new facilities and open spaces which:</del></b>  <b>a. Improve the quantity and quality of accessible open space, sport, recreation and community facilities in the District in line with the <u>standards set out in policy CW2 and the</u> needs identified in the 2018 Open Space Sports and Recreation Study and subsequent updates; and</b>  <b>b. <u>Are Be</u> designed according to Sport England and national governing body guidelines; and</b>  <b>c. <u>Are Be</u> accessible by a choice of means of sustainable and active travel; and</b>  <b>d. Create traffic free or safe walking and cycling linkages; and</b>  <b>e. Result in enhancements and links to the strategic and local green infrastructure network</b>	To clarify wording	As discussed at the examination	The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(31) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies CW1, CW2, CW3):  No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects.  The policy will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the sustainability objectives through provision of community facilities in sustainable locations including co-location of services and setting open space standards.  <i>The modification does not change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</i>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening																			
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions														
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><del>iv. The submission of a health impact assessment in support of major developments that identifies the health implications, aims to mitigate potential negative effects and maximises the opportunities to promote health and wellbeing and active lifestyles.</del></p> <p><b>B. <u>The Council will protect existing community facilities and open spaces.</u> Proposals which involve the loss of such facilities <u>and open space will be resisted and will only be allowed if they meet</u> <del>will be assessed</del> against the criteria in Policy RC2 of the WNJCS.</b></p> <p><del>iv.C. The Council encourages the</del> submission of a health impact assessment in support of major developments that identifies the health implications, aims to mitigate potential negative effects and maximises the opportunities to promote health and wellbeing and active lifestyles.</p> <p><i>The following associated modification is made to paragraph 10.1.04 as follows:</i></p> <p>Amend first part of 10.1.04 as follows:</p> <p>Planning for an appropriate mix of <del>recreation</del> <u>community</u> facilities, including <del>leisure centres sport and recreation facilities</del>, community and village halls, arts and cultural facilities, playing pitches and open spaces, will provide the facility infrastructure to encourage people to be more active. The Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (OSSR) <del>has been updated in order</del> <u>provides evidence</u> to inform this Local Plan.</p>																	
MM30	CW2 and paras 10.1.09 10.1.10 10.1.11 and 10.1.12	<p>Amend parts A and B of CW2 as follows;</p> <p><b>A. New residential development outside of the Northampton Related Development Area <del>over 0.2 hectares or sites</del> accommodating <u>6- 10</u> dwellings or more will be required to meet the following open space standards:</b></p> <p><b>B. New residential development within the Northampton Related Development Area in Daventry District <del>over 0.2 hectares or sites</del> accommodating <u>6 10</u> dwellings or more will be required to meet the following open space standards:</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Open Space Type</th> <th>Quantity per 1000 population</th> <th>Accessibility</th> <th>Quality</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><del>Children and young people's persons' facilities</del></td> <td><del>0.3ha</del> <u>0.25ha</u></td> <td><del>400m walk for children</del> <del>700m walk for teenage facilities</del></td> <td rowspan="3">Children: A site providing a clean, well-maintained and enriched play environment which is free from litter and vandalism. The site should contain a variety of formal equipment</td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Children (0-8)</del></td> <td><del>0.04ha</del></td> <td><del>400m for LEAP</del> <del>1000m for NEAP</del> <del>1000m for Young persons' facilities</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>Young people (9-teen)</del></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Open Space Type	Quantity per 1000 population	Accessibility	Quality	<del>Children and young people's persons' facilities</del>	<del>0.3ha</del> <u>0.25ha</u>	<del>400m walk for children</del> <del>700m walk for teenage facilities</del>	Children: A site providing a clean, well-maintained and enriched play environment which is free from litter and vandalism. The site should contain a variety of formal equipment	<del>Children (0-8)</del>	<del>0.04ha</del>	<del>400m for LEAP</del> <del>1000m for NEAP</del> <del>1000m for Young persons' facilities</del>	<del>Young people (9-teen)</del>			To clarify wording, reflect outcomes of viability assessment and more accurately reflect the evidence base.	As discussed at the examination	<p>The modification could potentially cause changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, the results of the SA have been checked below to ensure that the Main Modification would not change the result of the SA. This policy was subject to SA and this is reported in <a href="#">Daventry Local Plan Part 2 SA Report Part 3B (Ramboll, November 2017)</a> – see Table SA Table 3B(31) in Part 2B of the SA report. A summary of this assessment is as follows (please note that policies were grouped for the assessment so this summary relates to the combined effect of policies CW1, CW2, CW3):</p> <p>No significant (positive or negative) or uncertain effects.</p> <p>The policy will have a minor positive effect on a large number of the sustainability objectives through provision of community facilities in sustainable locations including co-location of services and setting open space standards.</p>
Open Space Type	Quantity per 1000 population	Accessibility	Quality																
<del>Children and young people's persons' facilities</del>	<del>0.3ha</del> <u>0.25ha</u>	<del>400m walk for children</del> <del>700m walk for teenage facilities</del>	Children: A site providing a clean, well-maintained and enriched play environment which is free from litter and vandalism. The site should contain a variety of formal equipment																
<del>Children (0-8)</del>	<del>0.04ha</del>	<del>400m for LEAP</del> <del>1000m for NEAP</del> <del>1000m for Young persons' facilities</del>																	
<del>Young people (9-teen)</del>																			

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening							
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording			Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>					
				<p><b>tailored to meet the needs of children and should be in a safe and secure location near to housing or on a multi-purpose site. Seating for supervising adults should be provided. The management and development of the site should involve the community wherever possible</b></p> <p><b><del>Teen-Young person</del>: A site providing a clean, well-maintained and varied environment for young people which is free from litter and vandalism. The site should contain a variety of formal equipment, shelter and ancillary accommodation tailored to meet the needs of young people of varying ages. The site should be in a safe and secure location near to housing or on a multi-purpose site. The management and development of the site should involve young people wherever</b></p>			<p><i>The modification does not change these conclusions. <b>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.</b></i></p>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening								
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording			Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions	
		Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del> New wording is <u>underlined</u>						
				possible to help bring about a sense of ownership Allotments should be secure with gates and fencing providing suitable and accessible areas for growing, and where applicable, an adequate water supply and car parking.				
		Allotments	<del>0.42 ha</del> <u>0.36ha</u>	1,000m				
		Amend part C of CW2 as follows; <b>C. Where it is not appropriate to create new on-site open space, <u>where viable and in accordance with the Infrastructure and Developer Contribution SPD, consideration should be given to the potential for</u> new housing developments <del>should to</del> contribute toward the enhancement of nearby off-site open spaces, which have been identified in the 2018 OSSR and subsequent updates, as requiring quality improvements or works to deliver an open space type that is required in the local area.</b> The following associated modification is made to paragraphs 10.1.09, 10.1.10, 10.1.11 and 10.1.12 as follows: 10.1.09 The <del>2009</del> <u>2018</u> Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy <del>has been updated, which has resulted in revised</del> <u>sets out</u> standards of open space provision for new residential developments larger than 0.2 hectares or 6+ dwellings <del>However, the 2017 Local Plan Viability Assessment indicated that developments of smaller sites of less than 10 dwellings may have difficulties in meeting the full level of policy compliance, taking into account the policies of this plan and those of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy. There are also likely to be practical difficulties in achieving on-site provision on these smaller sites. Consequently, this policy will only apply to developments of 10 or more dwellings; this is consistent with the threshold for policy HO8.</del> 10.1.10 The standards are set out for the different open space typologies and split into quantity, quality and accessibility. It may not always be appropriate to create on-site open space, for example a relatively small site may result in an open space that is too small to make a positive contribution or is difficult to maintain due to its size. In these circumstances a contribution towards enhancement of an existing nearby open space to the quality standards expressed or works that would deliver a typology that is in short supply locally would be acceptable. <u>The Council will review and update the Infrastructure and Developer Contributions SPD following adoption of this plan. This will include guidance on how the</u>						

<sup>5</sup> GEN01 – Daventry Local Plan Part 2 Viability Report & GEN01A – Daventry Local Plan Viability Update Addendum Report:  
<https://www.daventrydc.gov.uk/living/planning-policy/part-2-local-plan/evidence-base/>

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening													
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions								
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p> <p><u>standards will be applied and will enable the level of financial contribution to be calculated using the cost calculator to ensure that the development remains viable.</u></p> <p><u>10.1.11</u> There is some overlap between different typologies, for instance parks and gardens frequently include areas of amenity open space, natural and semi-natural green space, play areas and courts or pitches. Similarly, many amenity open spaces contain play areas, particularly those in residential areas. This overlap will be taken into account when determining the precise quantum that needs to be provided. The <u>updated</u> Infrastructure and Developer Contributions SPD will <del>be updated to</del> provide further guidance <del>on this following adoption of this plan.</del></p> <p><u>10.1.12</u> When considering the needs of an application which may be eligible to provide open space it will be important to assess the needs at the settlement level for quantity, quality and accessibility. If the settlement has a shortfall in quantity terms, then the development should provide for the typology based on the adopted standard. If when assessing the quantity of open space from a development, this results in a surplus in the settlement of a particular typology, the Council will consider whether alternative types of provision would be suitable to meet any known local needs.</p>											
MM31	CW3	<p>Amend Part B as follows:</p> <p><b>B. Opportunities for diversification of the business will be supported providing that it retains <u>sufficient some-useable floorspace for to maintain</u> its original function.</b></p>	To clarify the policy	As discussed at the examination	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.								
MM32	Appendix A Monitoring Framework	Please see separate appendix A set out within Appendix 1 of this schedule.	To provide clarity and make the plan more effective and address comments in Inspector's post hearing note, EXAM 28.	As discussed at the examination. EXAM 28 document.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.								
MM33	Appendix I List of Local Green Spaces	<p>Delete Martin Moore Woods, off Brampton Lane, Boughton</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="566 1461 1397 1528"> <tr> <th colspan="2">BOUGHTON</th> </tr> <tr> <td><del>165</del></td> <td><del>Martin Moore Woods, off Brampton Lane</del></td> </tr> </table> <p>Amend Inset map on page 23 accordingly</p> <p>Delete Playing Field, Brixworth Road, Creaton</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="566 1644 1397 1711"> <tr> <th colspan="2">CREATON</th> </tr> <tr> <td><del>167</del></td> <td><del>Playing Fields, Brixworth Road</del></td> </tr> </table> <p>Amend Inset map on page 26 accordingly</p>	BOUGHTON		<del>165</del>	<del>Martin Moore Woods, off Brampton Lane</del>	CREATON		<del>167</del>	<del>Playing Fields, Brixworth Road</del>	<p>For soundness as identified in Inspector's Post hearing note, Exam 28</p> <p>For soundness as identified in Inspector's Post hearing note, Exam 28.</p>	<p>Exam 28</p> <p>Exam 28</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p> <p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p>
BOUGHTON													
<del>165</del>	<del>Martin Moore Woods, off Brampton Lane</del>												
CREATON													
<del>167</del>	<del>Playing Fields, Brixworth Road</del>												

Table 2.1: Main Modifications SA screening													
Reference	Policy/ Paragraph	Suggested Modification to Policy Wording	Reason for modification	Source of Change	SA screening conclusions								
		<p>Wording to be deleted is <del>struckthrough</del></p> <p>New wording is <u>underlined</u></p>											
		<p>Delete Orchards and Pasture, Great Brington</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>GREAT BRINGTON &amp; LITTLE BRINGTON</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>141</del></td> <td><del>Orchards and pasture, Great Brington, Back Lane, Great Brington</del></td> </tr> </table> <p>Amend Inset map on page 44 accordingly</p> <p>Delete Pocket Park at Staverton</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>STAVERTON</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><del>191</del></td> <td><del>Pocket Park, Glebe Lane/Daventry Road</del></td> </tr> </table> <p>Amend Inset map on page 22 accordingly</p>	<b>GREAT BRINGTON &amp; LITTLE BRINGTON</b>		<del>141</del>	<del>Orchards and pasture, Great Brington, Back Lane, Great Brington</del>	<b>STAVERTON</b>		<del>191</del>	<del>Pocket Park, Glebe Lane/Daventry Road</del>	<p>For soundness as identified in Inspector's Post hearing note, Exam 28.</p> <p>Originally identified as a minor modification</p>	<p>Exam 28</p> <p>As discussed at the examination</p>	<p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p> <p>Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.</p>
<b>GREAT BRINGTON &amp; LITTLE BRINGTON</b>													
<del>141</del>	<del>Orchards and pasture, Great Brington, Back Lane, Great Brington</del>												
<b>STAVERTON</b>													
<del>191</del>	<del>Pocket Park, Glebe Lane/Daventry Road</del>												
MM34	Appendix J Housing Trajectory	Please see updates to Housing trajectory as per Appendix 1 of this schedule.	Originally identified as a minor modification and further updated on receipt of statements of common ground. EXAM 14 document	As discussed at the examination	Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA. This is not a material change.								