



BADBY PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Summary of responses received at Regulation 16 stage (submission)

Regulation 4(3)(b)(iii) of the Neighbourhood Planning (Referendum) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

The following table comprises a summary of the responses received to the submission consultation of the Badby Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan.

All of the responses summarised below were considered by the examiner when preparing her assessment of, and recommendations towards, the Badby Parish Neighbourhood Plan. Where significant representations were received the summary and conclusions provided by the respondent have been used to populate the tables below. The summary of comments reflects the views put forward by the consultee and are abridged as faithfully as possible to ensure that the purpose of the original representation is maintained. The responses can be reviewed in full on the DDC website at:

www.daventrydc.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning

Responses received to the submission consultation that were submitted to the Independent Examiner.

Respondent	Support/Object/Comment	Summary of response
Anglian Water	Support	Policy B10 Water Management Support the requirement for applicants to include the provision of Sustainable Drainage Systems as part of new developments. This will help to reduce the risk of surface water and sewer flooding.
Daventry District Council	Comment	General and miscellaneous Tables and figures should be referenced and sources identified. Vision and objectives should stand out. Amend to reflect the fact that the District Council is producing a single Part 2 Local Plan. The settlement hierarchy will be finalised when the Part 2 Local Plan is adopted.
	Comment	Policy B3 Heritage The supporting text should provide more detail about what is special about the village. Clarification required on the term used to describe important green spaces. Policy should reference attractive views, which should be detailed on the inset policies maps. There is no need for the policy to refer to designated heritage assets.
	Comment	Policy B5 Protection of open spaces Policy should reference designated green spaces. Description of Pinfold Green in Table 3 should be amended to reflect its location. Nene Side Close Important Green Space cannot be given the same level of protection as Local Green Space.
	Comment	Policy B7 Employment Clarification required on whether the policy applies inside or outside the confines.
	Comment	Policy B9 Traffic management and transport Policy should take into account local character including verges, green spaces and walls which could change through highway schemes.
	Comment	Policy B10 Water Management Policy should refer to the need for development not to increase flood risk elsewhere.
	Comment	Maps Confines should be amended to include Nene Side Close and Bridge House and land at

		Bunkers Hill. Suggestions made to make maps more comprehensive and clearer to read.
Katie Griffiths	Support	I support the neighbourhood plan as a whole and the village confines in particular. I believe the plan meets the basic conditions.
Highways England	Comment	Highways England welcomes the opportunity to comment on the submission Badby Neighbourhood Plan. The principal interest is safeguarding the operation of the strategic road network, which in relation to the Badby neighbourhood area is the A5 and M1, which are 5 and 8 miles to the east of the neighbourhood area respectively. The neighbourhood plan acknowledges that it is in general conformity with relevant national and local policies. It is noted that Badby Parish is designated as a Special Landscape Area, which allows the Parish to contribute to the quality of the area and to ensure that proposals demonstrate that they are not harmful to its quality. Given the limited scale of housing growth within the village confines to meet local need and the distance from the strategic road network it is not considered that there will be any impacts on the operation of the A5 or M1.
Historic England	Comment	At this stage we have no further comments on this consultation, please refer to our advice in the letter dated 17 th January 2017.
National Grid	Comment	To ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure investment, National Grid wishes to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of plans and strategies that may affect our assets. An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission apparatus. National Grid has no record of such apparatus within the neighbourhood plan area.
Natural England	Comment	Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.
Network Rail	Comment	Network Rail has no comments to make.
Northamptonshire County Council Development Infrastructure and Funding	Comment	Confirmation on behalf of Northamptonshire County Council key services (Education, Fire & Rescue, Libraries and Broadband) that there are no further comments to make at this time, over and above those submitted as part of the previous consultation, which are attached for reference.
Northamptonshire County Council Surface Water	Support	Thank you for taking into consideration our comments regarding flood water management at the earlier stage of the Badby Neighbourhood Plan. Suggested wording

and Drainage		is provided for an amendment to policy B10.
Pegasus	Object	<p>Policy B1 Protecting the landscape and local countryside character Policy B2 New housing development Policies Map 8.3 – Badby village inset The outcomes of effective and meaningful consultation are not the sole determinant of the neighbourhood plan. Neighbourhood plans must also pass the basic conditions. Pegasus act on behalf of IntroCrowd who have interests in land east of Nene Side Close and are actively promoting the site for development.</p> <p>The NPPF identifies three dimensions to sustainable development. The neighbourhood plan states that the WNJCS rural requirement has been met and that the plan supports sustainable development in line with national policy and the WNJCS. Policy B2 states that development for new housing will be supported provided it is within the confines or an exception site for affordable housing. The plan does not make specific allocations, but there will continue to be local housing needs in the rural areas over the plan period in sustainable settlements. The plan should take a positive approach and allocate in order to meet future needs. The settlement boundary restricts potential development within the village and the only realistic potential is to make some provision by allocating land adjacent to the settlement. The evidence base highlights a need for respondents wanting to downsize, but this is unlikely to come forward due to a lack of allocations.</p> <p>The emerging Settlements and Countryside Local Plan identifies Badby as a secondary service village, which has a more limited range of services but provides scope to meet some local housing, employment and service provision needs. Its sustainable location and lack of allocations does not contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.</p> <p>The site to the east of Nene Side Close provides a sustainable and logical option to meet Badby's future needs and it is recommended that the plan allocates it.</p>
	Object	<p>Policy B1 Protecting the landscape and local countryside character Policies Map 8.2 – wider area Criterion 3 requires development proposals to preserve or enhance views identified on the policies map. The map identifies viewpoint D looking south from Newnham Road as having significant importance. The evidence base for important views was based on public engagement, not a technical evidence base with set criteria, which undermines the</p>

		<p>robustness of the policy and viewpoints.</p> <p>The Pegasus landscape assessment covers all significant views within Badby. Viewpoint D is taken from the north of the IntroCrowd site east of Nene Side Close at a point where the highway is defined by a tree belt and hedgerow. It is highly subjective, not considered appropriate as it is not representative, unlikely to be a typical view and is insufficiently evidenced to justify safeguarding it. It does not conform with the NPPF core principles to protect green belt and the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. It does not make a positive contribution to the character or setting of Badby, is not in general conformity with emerging policy ENV1 of the Part 2 Plan and fails the basic conditions.</p>
	Object	<p>Policy H2 Housing Map 8.3 settlement boundaries</p> <p>The policy proposes the settlement boundary around the north of Pinfold Green excluding Nene Side Close. The emerging draft Local Plan includes these dwellings within the settlement boundary, therefore the neighbourhood plan is not in conformity and fails the basic conditions. The boundary is not justified or supported by evidence of a robust assessment of appropriate settlement limits and no methodology has been set out. It would be appropriate for the boundary to include Nene Side Close, the dwellings have been built for some time and such a boundary would conform with the emerging Local Plan. The evidence is based on resident questionnaires, however, the open day questionnaire indicated 57% of respondents favoured an expansion of the confines.</p>
Geoff Pullin	Comment	<p>I made a submission to the draft plan, however, it has not altered the plan. The following therefore repeats my comments:</p> <p>Policy B1 The County's policy since the 1970's of restricting infill of nominated villages has led to inflated house prices and an ageing population. The tightly drawn confines will not keep the village lively in the future with a range of age groups. The government has not discovered a way of transferring the capital gain between agricultural land values and that with planning permission for housing or industry into community capital. The full range of highway, social, utilities and green area provision that the community expects cannot be provided which encourages opposition to any development.</p> <p>Understands the reluctance to identify land around Badby's confines because some landowners will make large gains. However, this prevents the most sensible areas from</p>

		<p>being identified for immediate and future small scale development, which jeopardises the ability to retain a functioning village.</p> <p>Pulling in the confines has left more options for exception sites and developers going for out of scale and poorly located large housing developments.</p>
	Comment	<p>The following part of the earlier representation has led to a change of wording in the submission plan.</p> <p>Policy B6 There may be a need to adapt the green spaces and village greens to help resolve current parking problems in the absence of financial incentives to encourage off road parking.</p>
Peter Shapter	Support	<p>I support the plan as a whole, particularly the decision not to include Nene Side Close within the village confines.</p>
Sport England	Comment	<p>Government policy within the NPPF identifies how the planning system can play an important part in social interaction and creating healthy inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active is an important part of this. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. It is important to positively plan for sport, protect sports facilities from unnecessary loss and have an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment with community facilities.</p> <p>It is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport in the NPPF. Sport England is a statutory consultee in protecting playing fields and the presumption against loss. Sport England has produced a playing field policy and guidance on developing planning policy for sport.</p> <p>A neighbourhood planning body should see if the local authority has a playing pitch strategy or indoor/outdoor facility strategy, which could be useful evidence for the neighbourhood plan. The neighbourhood plan should reflect the strategy, including those that relate to the neighbourhood area and use local investment opportunities such as CIL to support their delivery. Where such evidence does not exist policies should be based on a proportionate assessment of the area's needs. Key recommendations and deliverable actions should be developed in consultation with the local sporting and wider community to set out required future provision based on needs.</p>

		<p>Sport England has produced design guidance notes for the design of new or improved sports facilities.</p> <p>Any new housing development will generate additional demands for sport. If existing facilities do not have capacity planning policies should ensure that new facilities or improvements to existing facilities are secured and delivered. Proposed actions to meet demand should accord with any approved local policies and pitch/facility strategy. Consideration should be given to how any new development, especially housing, will provide opportunities for healthy communities. Sport England's Active Design guidance can be used to develop policies or assess proposals. The guidance can be used during the evidence gathering stage to undertake an assessment of how the area could be improved to encourage active lifestyles.</p>
David Warren	Support	<p>Supports the plan keeping the village boundary as drawn and excluding Nene Side Close and Bunkers Hill Close.</p> <p>There should be a policy of no development to the south of Bunkers Hill outside the village boundary.</p>
D & S Wilson	Support	<p>Agree with and support the vision, objective and policies in the Badby Neighbourhood Plan, particularly the village confines. The exclusion of the outlying dwellings at Bunkers Hill and Nene Side Close conforms with the provisions of exclusion set out in saved policy HS22 and DDC's recent Guidance on Village Confines.</p>
	Support	<p>Strongly support the stance of Badby Parish Council to the classification of Badby as a Secondary Service Village as opposed to Other Village, as proposed in the emerging draft Part 2 Local Plan.</p>