

## Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Local Plan (Part 2b) for Daventry District

### Issue 1

There is a need to provide residential pitches within Daventry District by 2029. Should all 18 pitches be allocated within the Part 2b Local Plan or should some be allowed to come through the normal development management processes i.e. planning applications?

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Braybrooke Parish Council	Marie Jessop		When considering issue 1, option 1/option 2 it should be borne in mind where pitches have already been allocated and therefore ensure all new sites are a proper distance away – in the middle or southern half of Daventry district would seem appropriate.
Brixworth Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group	Michael Parsons Secretary	A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	Extend existing site by 6 pitches
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	A balanced approach might be to have 80% allocated pitches and 20% via “normal planning”. I would not be comfortable with a lesser % for allocated as it would expose DDC due to its statutory requirement and the non-delivery of sites.

Daventry Town Council		All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
RBL	Edward Sharpe	A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	Control this its no good carrying on as the travellers have in the past
Environment Agency	John O'Neill Planning Specialist		n/a
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Historic England	Emilie Carr		At this stage without specific sites or areas, there is no comment to make in relation to heritage assets.
	Mrs Janet Hogarth	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
	Mrs Julie Turner	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	

Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Margarita's Tasty Kitchen	Margarita Pap Business Owner	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	Daventry District Council need to identify land across the DDC area, provide the sites and then sell off the pitches to the travellers. This will generate monies so the process can be repeated.

Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
	Mr S Simon	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	Prevents ad hoc applications. Allows DDC to allocate sites in the north of the district in traditional traveller area.
Weedon Bec Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	All pitches should be allocated within the Local Plan Part A proportion of the requirement is allocated and the remaining pitches come through development management processes	

## Issue 2 – Policy H6 Site Criteria

Policy H6 of the West Northants Joint Core Strategy sets out criteria to be taken into account when making site allocations and determining planning applications for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople provision. Further guidance is provide in the Site Design Criteria contained in the draft Housing SPD; it is intended to incorporate those criteria into the Part 2b Local Plan. It is therefore not considered necessary of the Local Plan part 2b to include anything further regarding the criteria.

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Anglian Water	Stewart Patience		Agree we note that the district wide policy relating to Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople site provision. It is not considered necessary to duplicate the requirements of Policy H6 in the Part 2 Local Plan.
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk		Agreed no criteria needed
Braybrooke Parish Council	Marie Jessop		<p>We would utterly disagree with the T.A.N.S indicating there may be scope for expanding existing sites. This sadly has already occurred in the case of Golden Stables which, despite being unsustainable, was allowed to double in size in 2015. It is incumbent upon Daventry DC to look elsewhere it its 257 sq.miles for new sites. We would recommend many miles away from the two existing sites.</p> <p>This sad state of affairs in no way helps the case for gypsy and travellers to be accepted by society. In fact it reinforces prejudice against them and they are consequently increasingly seen as a feared group set apart from and with no integration into local communities.</p>

Brixworth Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group	Michael Parsons Secretary		Agree Extend existing site by 6 pitches
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Daventry Town Council		Agree no further criteria is needed	
RBL	Edward Sharpe	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Historic England	Emilie Carr		Policy H6 does not reference heritage assets, nor does the 'Design Criteria for Gypsy and Traveller sites'. therefore it would be helpful to include a criteria if a site criteria policy was to be added such as:-'The scale and location of the site will not have an unacceptable impact on the landscape, heritage assets local infrastructure and existing communities'.
	Mrs Janet Hogarth	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Agree no further criteria is needed	

Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Disagree	The main communal highway on a site should be publicly owned allowing access to all persons and not just the residents on the site. The road should be designated highway as defined by The Highways Act which would have the benefit of restricting parking infringements/obstructions and it also allows the local authority to put conditions on the roadway. If the roadway is in the private ownership of the site owner there is nothing the authorities can do about parking and obstruction issues.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Agree no further criteria is needed	
	Mr S Simon	Agree no further criteria is needed	Sites should be located in areas of traditional traveller activity
Weedon Bec Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Agree no further criteria is needed	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Agree no further criteria is needed	

**Issue 3 – Site Size Gypsy and Travellers****There is a need to provide 18 residential pitches within Daventry District to 2029. What site sizes should be considered for this provision?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	<p>We think that future site allocations should reflect the evidence and data with DDC is already aware of from the TANS report; that new permanent accommodation should be limited to 'family sized sites'.</p> <p>Larger sites such as Justin Park are considered by many to be over bearing in physical presence and intimidating developments that are not welcoming or open to the surrounding settled community. Such views do not encourage local integration and – as your report refers – are considered similarly problematic to the residents when they require emergency services.</p>
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Sites should be provided in a range of sizes up to 15 pitches	
Braybrooke Parish Council	Marie Jessop		<p>Referring to issue 3 site size and issue 4 site location, because the vast majority of residents in your district have no experience of gypsy and traveller sites it follows that they cannot give an informed opinion. This must fall to the two villages that have the two sites in their parishes, namely Great Oxendon and Arthingworth. We feel that because we are adjacent to these parishes, our responses must also be considered.</p> <p>We have found it is essential for sites to be small and easily</p>

			<p>accessible by a good road network. Small sites enable authorities to be aware of the occupants. Larger sites cannot be monitored and are fraught with difficulties.</p> <p>The problems with the notorious Justin Park is well known. The various police raids have been well documented in the local newspaper, The Harborough Mail. We note from your document that residents of Justin Park believe that services such as police and fire brigade are reluctant to attend the site. This is also the case with delivery drivers. Justin Park illustrates the serious problems encountered when a site is too big. Daventry DC may well not be aware of this due to the distance that Daventry services would have to travel to incidents at Justin Park. An estimate of at least one hour away means that nearer agencies from Market Harborough and Kettering attend.</p>
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Other site size	<p>A site could be of just two pitches and up to 10 pitches, with room for extension, equivalent of permitted development. So when a gypsy family needs a bit more room for a new member of the family it could be done without too much difficulty.</p> <p>I also believe that more than 10 pitches is not optimal as it would be unfair to the gypsy and travellers and the settled communities. It is important to make the gypsy and travelling community part of our district and not of just a ward or two, as it is the case at the moment.</p>
	Daventry Town Council	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
RBL	Edward Sharpe	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	

Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
	Mrs Janet Hogarth	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
	Mrs Julie Turner	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Sites should be provided in a range of sizes up to 15 pitches	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Other site size	Sites should have even numbers of pitches up to a maximum of 10 to make management of the site easier and to avoid domination by one group over another.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Sites should be provided in a range of sizes up to 15 pitches	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	
	Mr S Simon	Other site size	One additional or expanded site to meet all legal requirements
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Other site size	Extend existing sites or provide sites that are for one family only max 4-6 caravans (but the smaller the better to avoid conflicts)

			with local resident and in family fighting)
Welford Bec Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Sites should be provided in a range of sizes up to 15 pitches	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Sites should be provided for 4-6 pitches	

**Issue 4 – Site Location – Gypsy and Travellers****Should existing sites within Daventry District be extended to accommodate the identified need or should need be accommodated on new sites?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	Identify additional sites	<p>Of the two sites within Daventry District, the size of Justin Park is already considered problematic and Golden Stables has in the last 12 months doubled in pitch size. Any attempt to further increase the size of these sites is likely to be considered more a convenience for DDC – in a desire to increase pitch numbers – rather than driven by good development principles, which would be irresponsible to both the settled and traveller communities.</p> <p>Greater effort should be made to establish new sites in different areas of Daventry District.</p>
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Marie Jessop	Braybrooke Parish Council		<p>Referring to issue 3 site size and issue 4 site location, because the vast majority of residents in your district have no experience of gypsy and traveller sites it follows that they cannot give an informed opinion. This must fall to the two villages that have the two sites in their parishes, namely Great Oxendon and Arthingworth. We feel that because we are adjacent to these parishes, our responses must also be considered.</p>

			<p>We have found it is essential for sites to be small and easily accessible by a good road network. Small sites enable authorities to be aware of the occupants. Larger sites cannot be monitored and are fraught with difficulties.</p> <p>The problems with the notorious Justin Park is well known. The various police raids have been well documented in the local newspaper, The Harborough Mail. We note from your document that residents of Justin Park believe that services such as police and fire brigade are reluctant to attend the site. This is also the case with delivery drivers. Justin Park illustrates the serious problems encountered when a site is too big. Daventry DC may well not be aware of this due to the distance that Daventry services would have to travel to incidents at Justin Park. An estimate of at least one hour away means that nearer agencies from Market Harborough and Kettering attend.</p>
Brixworth Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group	Michael Parsons Secretary	Other	Justin Park already exists. Extend by six pitches. That means that all of the existing and new pitches will be in the north east of Daventry DC. There appears to be a case for the balance to be over to the west of the district
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Other	As you are aware, Great Oxendon and Arthingworth parishes have nearly all the actual sites- 27 pitches plus much more across the border with KBC. It is my belief that it would be very unwise to extend those sites.
Daventry Town Council		Identify additional sites	

Edward Sharpe	RBL	Identify additional sites	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Identify additional sites	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		Identify additional sites	
Mrs Julie Turner		Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Kettering Borough Council	Julia Baish Senior Development Officer	Identify additional sites	<p>Paragraph 25 of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites states that Local planning authorities should ensure that sites in rural areas respect the scale of, and do not dominate, the nearest settled community, and avoid placing an undue pressure on the local infrastructure. The two existing sites identified in paragraph 3.2 of the consultation document at Justin Park and Arthingworth (Golden Stables) are close to existing sites in Kettering Borough. It is recommended that a cross boundary approach is taken in relation to the assessment of impact on nearest communities if expansion of these sites is considered as an option.</p> <p>Kettering Borough Council previously objected to applications to extend the site at Golden Stables (Ref DA/2014/0984 and DA/2015/0083). The Council does not consider that the existing site at Golden Stables is suitable for further expansion. The site is in a location which is remote from an adequate range of services and facilities; the primary school at Braybrooke has now closed. There are also limited public transport options. There would be a strong reliance on the private car as a result. The current development is visible</p>

			<p>and any intensification of the site would be visually intrusive and erode the rural character and appearance of the area. Kettering Borough Council is of the view that any further development in this location would have a harmful impact and would be unsustainable. Additional development in this location will give rise to impacts that cannot be mitigated and would cause considerable harm.</p> <p>The site at Justin Park has 23 pitches. Given the preference for smaller sites set out in paragraph 4.16 of the consultation document expansion of this site would not provide a suitable solution to pitch provision.</p> <p>Given the constraints to expansion of existing sites to meet future need for pitches, the most appropriate option is for additional sites to be identified. Kettering Borough Council would like to be consulted on additional sites identified, particularly where these are located close to the Kettering/ Daventry boundary. We welcome the continued on-going positive dialogue with officers at Daventry District Council. Most recently this included meetings on 16 April 2015 and 12 February 2016. We welcome opportunities to maintain this dialogue.</p>
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Identify additional sites	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	

Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Identify additional sites	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Other	Justin Park is too big; Golden Stables is too close to Greenfields which is in KDC's area and is unauthorised development and the combination of the two provides a high concentration of travellers and cohesion with the local settled community is very poor.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Identify additional sites	
	Mr S Simon	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Other	Extend existing sites appears to make more sense given all services etc. are already present. If new sites have to be found they must be small size max 4-6 caravans and one family only
Welford Bec Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Expand existing sites to accommodate need	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Other	Combination of option 1 and option 2.  See 6.10 (ref to TANS)



**Issue 5 – Site Tenure  
What tenure should be considered?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	Other	We believe that traveller site tenure should be varied with private and public, rented and owned options – as is tenure for housing.
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Other	The parish council feels unable to answer the question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Other	Option 1 and 2 could be considered in tandem
	Daventry Town Council	Privately rented	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Other	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		Privately rented	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Maidwell with	Mary Sanders-	Privately rented	

Draughton Parish Council	Hewett Chair		
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	Sites should be provided by DDC and plots either sold or rented to gypsies. It would be best to have one site for rent and one for plots to be purchased both types managed by DDC. It is very important to ensure ethnically different traveller groups are kept separate - it is a known fact that English gypsies and Irish travellers do not wish to live together.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Privately rented	
Mr S Simon		Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Welford Bec Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Managed by Registered Provider or Local Authority	

**Issue 6 – Emergency Stopping Places****Policy H6 of the West Northants Core Strategy sets out a requirement for a single emergency stopping place within the district. How large should it be?**

19 responses the majority (14) opted for option 1 which was it should contain 4-6 pitches

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk		The parish feels unable to answer this question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	1-4 pitches	
	Daventry Town Council	1-4 pitches	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	1-4 pitches	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	1-4 pitches	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		5-8 pitches	
Mrs Julie Turner		1-4 pitches	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	1-4 pitches	

Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	1-4 pitches	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	1-4 pitches	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	1-4 pitches	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Other site size	Best practice guidance from other counties would suggest that up to 10 pitches would be ideal. DDC should explore and identify in consultation with the other district councils 7 potential stopping places across the county with only 2 ever being in use at any one time. The temporary/ emergency stopping places should ONLY have the following: Temporary hard standing Water bins Chemical toilet disposal facilities.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	1-4 pitches	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Other site size	1-2
	Mr S Simon	1-4 pitches	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		1-4 pitches	
Welford Parish	Alan Curtis	1-4 pitches	

Council	Vice Chair		
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	1-4 pitches	

**Issue 7 – Mixed Planning use Traveller sites****It is not considered necessary for the local Plan Part 2b to include an additional policy on mixed use traveller sites. Do you agree?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	No additional wording	
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Disagree	It is important not to create enclaves which are not sustainable. So mixed use travellers sites should only be considered if the strong conditions which would have to be imposed to make the site sustainable could be adhered to
	Daventry Town Council	No additional wording	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Disagree	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	No additional wording	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		No additional wording	
Mrs Julie Turner		No additional wording	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	No additional wording	
Long Buckby	Richard Vivian	No additional wording	

Parish Council			
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	No additional wording	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	No additional wording	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Disagree	Scope for mixed use sites should be considered provided that the space for business use is restricted and conditions are imposed. This ensures that the residential amenity of other residents who have no business interest is not compromised.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	No additional wording	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	No additional wording	
	Mr S Simon	No additional wording	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		No additional wording	
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	No additional wording	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	No additional wording	

**Issue 8 – Site Allocation – Travelling Showpeople****Should existing sites within Daventry District be extended to accommodate the identified need of Travelling Showpeople or should need be accommodated on new sites?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Other	The parish council feels unable to answer this question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Welford Ward	CLlr Cecile Irving-Swift	Other	DDC needs two extra pitches. I believe that if Woodford Halse parish agrees to an extension to the site, that would be optimal. But if not, I believe that on site has to be determined elsewhere in the district, with an exclusion zone of 10 miles around Great Oxendon
	Daventry Town Council	Identify additional sites	Sites should be found anywhere in district
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Identify additional sites	Sites should be found anywhere in the district
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Identify additional sites	Sites should be in close proximity to Woodford Halse
Historic England	Emilie Carr		If additional sites are identified in close proximity to Woodford Halse, careful consideration would be required in relation to the nearby high concentration of heritage assets.
Mrs Janet Hogarth		Identify additional sites	Sites should be in close proximity to Woodford Halse

Mrs Julie Turner		Identify additional sites	Sites should be found anywhere in the district
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Identify additional sites	Sites should be found anywhere in the district
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Expand existing sites	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Identify additional sites	Sites should be in close proximity to Woodford Halse
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Expand existing sites	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Other	Only expand existing sites if other family members wish to join the site to meet the business need of that particular fair. Do not expand the site at Woodford - sites should be provided elsewhere unless the above reasons can be demonstrated.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Expand existing sites	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Other	Not familiar with Woodford Halse so thorough consideration should be given to both option 1 and option 2
	Mr S Simon	Expand existing sites	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Expand existing sites	
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Expand existing sites	

Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Expand existing sites	
-----------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--

**Issue 9 – Rural Areas and Countryside**

It is proposed to following national Policy C when allocating sites in the Part 2b Local Plan and include a development management policy which embeds the principle for dealing with any other sites which may need to be considered.

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk		APC agrees with Policy C of Planning for Traveller Sites:  <i>“When assessing the suitability of sites in rural or semi-rural settings, local planning authorities should ensure that the scale of such site does not dominate the nearest settled community.”</i>  We have strong views on this point with the growth of a number of traveller sites within the vicinity of our village – most of which are in Kettering Borough (see attached map).
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Agree	
	Daventry Town Council	Agree	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Disagree	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Agree	

Mrs Janet Hogarth		Agree	
Mrs Julie Turner		Agree	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Agree	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Agree	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Agree	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Agree	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Agree	
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Agree	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Agree	
	Mr S Simon	Disagree	To avoid impact on isolated properties, after 'nearest settled community' add words to the effect of 'or individual residences.'
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Agree	

Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Agree	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Agree	

**Issue 10 – Rural Exception Sites****It is not considered necessary for the Local Plan Part 2b to include a specific policy on Rural Exception Sites.**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	Agree	APC agree with Issue 10. An exceptional rural policy will be considered unfair for rural communities and disproportionate with urban areas.
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Agree	
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Agree	
	Daventry Town Council	Agree	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Disagree	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Agree	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		Agree	
Mrs Julie Turner		Agree	

Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Agree	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Agree	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Agree	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Agree	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Agree	Agree with rural exception site policy provided they are used to accommodate the needs of an existing traveller family and not used to provide for travellers not already within that community.
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Agree	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Disagree	Since this is a consultation on the needs of the travelling community then logically there should be a separate rural exception site policy for that community
	Mr S Simon	Agree	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Disagree	Relocating sites due to SUE's expanding or other large scale development should not mean by default that rural sites will be allowed via policy just to enable the relocation. Policy must ensure that there are the same rules applied for any relocation and fall in line with sites earmarked within the local plan. Accepting rural traveller sites without due consideration to the

			local community, policing etc could cause issues county wide so should be discouraged via policy.
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Agree	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Agree	

**Issue 11 – Major Development Sites****What additional policy(ies) should this plan contain to bring forward the provision of Gypsy and Traveller sites on major development sites including housing?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Other	The parish council feels unable to answer this question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	Developers should provide a proportion of Gypsy and Traveller sites on major developments including housing within the district	<p>A) I would suggest more than 200 houses with an appropriate scale. For example, two minimum for up to 250 houses, 4 minimum for up to 400.....Those pitches would be integrated into the 40% affordable housing.</p> <p>B) that should be part of the affordable housing provision. I believe that if the council could work with the G and T community representatives, small well designed sites could be incorporated in a SUE. If it is in the master plan, it might be some resistance at the beginning but good planning should be the lead for a solution toward the provision of the sites. in the past, an ad hoc approach has not worked. Let be open and progressive. The good design for G and T sites is to be commanded and might help with a template</p>
	Daventry Town Council		Gypsies and travellers opt out of living within a community that is not related to thre travelling community therefor it would be wrong to include them on new developments. If monies need to be raised to provide sites then this should be part of the local authority budgeting process of which planning gain maybe a consideration

Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Mrs Janet Hogarth		Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Mrs Julie Turner		Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders-Hewett Chair	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major	

		development site	
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
	Mr S Simon	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Developers should provide commuted sums where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
Welton Parish	Geoffrey Smith	Developers should provide commuted sums	

Council		where provision for Gypsy and Traveller pitches cannot be made on a major development site	
---------	--	--	--

**Issue 12 – Duty to Cooperate****Are there any issues arising under the duty to cooperate and that have not already been addressed that the Gypsies Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Local Plan (Part 2b) should consider?**

Organisation	Respondent	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	<p>APC would like a more rigorous framework of cooperation between DDC and neighbouring councils.</p> <p>As the attached map indicates there has been a significant growth of traveller sites within a small area sitting on the border of DDC and KBC. In recent times this has seen DDC object to traveller site applications in KBC, which KBC have recommended to refuse – followed by applications on a neighbouring site in DDC (within metres of the first site) which KBC have objected to but DDC have recommended to grant.</p> <p>This action makes the liaison between the respective authorities look ridiculous and undermines confidence in the planning process.</p>
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	The parish council feel unable to answer the question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Welford Ward	Cllr Cecile Irving-Swift	It is important to discuss with major building firms and the travelling communities. If we do not start to break the barriers, we shall carry on as in the past. I believe that it is not an option. mAs previously, good planning is key to social cohesion
	Daventry Town Council	Discussions should be held with the gypsy and traveller community to identify need and ensure any proposals don't become expensive poor decisions.
Gt Oxendon	Peter Rowbotham	The local plan should take into account the impact of adjoining local authority local plans. Gypsy and traveller sites

Parish Council	Clerk	should not be concentrated on any one area
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Duty to co-operate neighbouring counties need to be consulted to check where their traveller sites are and if this will cause a conflict
Northampton Borough Council		The evidence base relating to the accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is currently being updated. This issue should be reviewed when the West Northamptonshire Travellers Accommodation Needs Update is completed. It will be important for DDC (and SNC) to continue to work closely together with NBC to maintain this supply through all three of the Local Plans (part 2)
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	Discuss with representatives from traveller groups or from existing sites - canvass opinion from the grass roots level including showman.
Weedon Bec Parish Council		<p>Health and Education bodies Environmental Health Police - to consider issues that may have occurred at the site that needs to be relocated / family that is moving to ensure a problem is not just being moved elsewhere over multiple sites Councils who are affected, if for example on a multiple local authority border, in respect of sharing costs and responsibilities of monitoring site etc Prior consultation with Parish or Town Councils - to listen to local residents views Other statutory consultees: Water Authorities, Highways, Rural England, CPRE, Historic England etc</p> <p>With the impending demise of the Gypsy and Traveller unit at NCC there must be a duty to cooperate with neighbouring planning authorities. Local authorities tend to push traveller sites towards the boundary of their area and if neighbouring LA's are doing the same some areas could become surrounded by traveller sites. An equitable distribution of sites is essential and cooperation is the only way to ensure this.</p>

**Issue 13 – Other Issues****Are there any other issues the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Local Plan (Part 2b) should consider?**

Organisation	Respondent	Comment
Anglian Water	Stewart Patience	We would wish to comment further on the implication of any Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople allocation sites identified by the District Council for Anglian Water's existing infrastructure
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	The parish council feel unable to answer the question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Site tenure policies should include mandatory minimum and maximum periods of tenure
Northamptonshire Police	Sharon Henley Crime Prevention Design Advisor	DDC's overall policy should be sensitive to the ethnic diversity of the different groups which make up the gypsy and traveller community.
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Enforcement responsibilities

**Issue 14- Strategic Environmental Assessment****It is considered that the plan does not trigger a legal requirement for Strategic Environmental Assessment. Do you agree?**

Organisation	Respondent	Option	Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Disagree	The parish council feel unable to answer the question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
	Daventry Town Council	Disagree	All developments of this nature should trigger an environmental assessment
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Agree	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Agree	
Mrs Julie Turner		Disagree	This should be part of a strategic environmental assessment just as it would for any other planning.
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Disagree	It is felt that since people will be coming and going from the sites it is very important to check the environmental impact
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Agree	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders- Hewett Chair	Agree	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Agree	

Overstone Parish Council	Jane Austin	Agree	
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Disagree	If there are to be large sites or pitches would this not warrant a Strategic Environmental Assessment
	Mr S Simon	Disagree	Potentially controversial decisions relating to site allocation should be fully assessed before any decisions are made
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Disagree	All other types of sites for development require an SEA so why should development of traveller sites be an exception? People living on sites do as much damage to the environment, if not more than settled residents and generally the sites are something of an eyesore vis a vis countryside views/heritage. The SEA should be a requirement irrespective of site size for every application submitted as part of the application process. This is due to rural impact and should be part of the application process - not considered on a site by site basis.
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Disagree	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Agree	

**Issue 15****It is proposed to conduct a light touch sustainability appraisal of the plan. Do you agree?**

Organisation	Respondent		Comment
Badby Parish Council	Sharon Foster Clerk	Disagree	The parish council feel unable to answer the question on the grounds that it is not suitably qualified
	Daventry Town Council	Agree	
Edward Sharpe	RBL	Disagree	
Gt Oxendon Parish Council	Peter Rowbotham Clerk	Agree	
Mrs Julie Turner		Agree	
Kilsby Parish Council	Catherine Camp Parish Clerk	Disagree	The sustainability appraisal should be set at the same level as for any other residential development
Long Buckby Parish Council	Richard Vivian	Agree	
Maidwell with Draughton Parish Council	Mary Sanders- Hewett Chair	Agree	
Moulton Parish Council	Jane Austin Executive Officer	Agree	
Overstone Parish	Jane Austin	Agree	

Council			
Scaldwell Parish Council	Eugene O'Leary Chairman	Disagree	Refer to answer for issue 14. If there are large sites in rural areas in a light touch approach may not be sufficient.
Mr S Simon		Disagree	See issue 14 above
Weedon Bec Parish Council		Disagree	See issue 14
Welford Parish Council	Alan Curtis Vice Chair	Disagree	
Welton Parish Council	Geoffrey Smith	Agree	

## Other comments

Organisation	Respondent	Comment
Arthingworth Parish Council	Lynne Partridge Clerk	<p>Arthingworth is one of only two locations within the whole of Daventry District where there is an authorised traveller camp. The creation of this camp and its subsequent expansion last year has been contentious for the village; however in isolation it has been manageable.</p> <p>Our concern is with the growth of pitches - authorised and unauthorised – estimated to number over 80 within 3-4 miles of the village. The majority of these pitches are in KBC – which this report neglects to refer to - however DDC have also concentrated their entire allocation of pitches into the same location. The evident lack of liaison and cooperation between the respective authorities, neither of whom has properly addressed their respective shortfall in adequate sites, is deeply concerning.</p> <p>We strongly urge DDC to continue at speed the development of this policy but to ensure a wide spread allocation of appropriate sites around the district, whilst taking account of the proximity of sites in neighbouring councils.</p>
Equality human rights		<p>Our research has shown that Gypsy and Traveller communities in Britain experience wide-ranging inequalities. Many stereotypes about Gypsy Travellers already exist, and negative attitudes and ingrained prejudices within parts of wider society can be hard to tackle. In addition, racism towards Gypsies and Travellers is still common and seen as justified.</p> <p>The Royal Town Planning Institute Guidance Notes also point out that Gypsies and Travellers are often treated as a group against whom it is acceptable to be prejudiced in the planning process.</p> <p>Please see links below to our research reports on Gypsies and Travellers below: ‘Inequalities experienced by Gypsies and Travellers’ <a href="http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/about-us/our-">http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/about-us/our-</a></p>

		<p>work/key-projects/good-relations/gypsies-and-travellers-simple-solutions-for-living-together/gypsies-and-travellers-research-reports</p> <p>'Simple Solutions for living together'</p> <p><a href="http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/documents/gypsies_and_travellers.pdf">http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/sites/default/files/documents/gypsies_and_travellers.pdf</a></p>
Gladmans	Russell Spencer	<p>It is important that Plan seeks to meet the district's full OAN, including for specialist accommodation for groups such as Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, unless significant and demonstrable harm would result when tested against the policies of the Framework. Gladman would draw the Council's attention to the Inspector's Interim Findings on the Maldon Local Plan, which raised significant concerns regarding that Council's treatment of Gypsy and Traveller site allocations.</p> <p>Any policies should also reflect the changes introduced in the new Planning Policy for Traveller Sites document published in August 2015. In particular, the Council will need to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>☐ pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups)</li> <li>☐ cooperate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups; other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities</li> <li>☐ use a robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of local plans and make planning decisions.</li> </ul> <p>The Council may find it helpful to undertake an Equalities Impact Assessment as part of the Sustainability Appraisal to identify and address the potential equality impacts the plan is likely to have on Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.</p>
Highway England	Steve Pearce	Regarding the Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Local Plan (Part 2b) consultation document, Highways England has no comments to provide
Jeff Williams		<p>I would like to point out that we have over two-thirds of the travellers sites in the Daventry DC area in our near vicinity. We also have other sites nearby in the Harborough and Kettering areas.</p> <p>I realise we are as far away from Daventry as possible within the Daventry area and this must suit other</p>

		<p>Councillors on the Planning Committee other than our own local Councillor.</p> <p>I would therefore ask that any future sites be well away from Great Oxendon and that the present nearby sites are not permitted to expand any further.</p>
Natural England	Ross Holdgate Northamptonshire Team	Natural England has no comments to make on the issues raised in this part of the consultation
Network Rail	Diane Clarke Town Planning Technician	<p><b>(2) Part 2b Gypsy, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Local Plan</b>  Network Rail would draw the council's attention to the following (which applies to England only):  <b>The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015</b>  <b>Publicity for applications for planning permission within 10 metres of relevant railway land</b>  <b>16.</b>—(1) This article applies where the development to which the application relates is situated within 10 metres of relevant railway land.  (2) The local planning authority must, except where paragraph (3) applies, publicise an application for planning permission by serving requisite notice on any infrastructure manager of relevant railway land.  (3) Where an infrastructure manager has instructed the local planning authority in writing that they do not require notification in relation to a particular description of development, type of building operation or in relation to specified sites or geographical areas ("the instruction"), the local planning authority is not required to notify that infrastructure manager.  (4) The infrastructure manager may withdraw the instruction at any time by notifying the local planning authority in writing.  (5) In paragraph (2) "requisite notice" means a notice in the appropriate form as set out in Schedule 3 or in a form substantially to the same effect.</p> <p>For development within 10m of the railway boundary, Network Rail are now statutory consultees.</p>
Northamptonshire County Council		The County Council has no observations to make on the Issues and Options consultation document for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople
Woodford-cum-Membris	Christina Williams Parish Councillor	Section 6.20 of the Daventry Local Plan currently under consultation deals with the need for two more Travelling Showpeople plots in Daventry District. Option 2 of Issue 8 specifically suggests locating both sites in close proximity to Woodford Halse.

		<p>I would like to make the following observations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. While Woodford Halse has a link to both the M1 and the M40 via the A361 there is no easy access for those who wish to travel to the North East via the M1. A site in the north of Daventry District close to the A14 would better facilitate access to this route.</li> <li>2. There is a single (unclassified) “main” road [Byfield Road] which is the primary access to Woodford Halse which carries most of the traffic coming to Woodford and some of the surrounding villages. This enters the village at Scirvens Hill directly opposite the Primary School. There is already an obvious danger to children from vehicles using this route which should not be exacerbated.</li> <li>3. The village roads are old and narrow and cannot cope with the current volume of traffic at peak times.</li> <li>4. 250 dwellings are currently being constructed on the “Woodford Meadows” site to the north of Byfield Road. The village and its facilities are to the south of Byfield Road. That is, the new housing is separated from all the local facilities by this road. There are already issues regarding safety for pedestrians and other road users who will be accessing the village from the new development, particularly for the children who will attend the Primary School. Any additional increase in traffic, particularly by large vehicles will increase the danger.</li> <li>5. The 250 dwellings already under construction are going to place a strain on the local infrastructure which is already stretched. Any improvements to cope with the population increase are inevitably going to lag behind the development. Bringing an additional (albeit transient) population into the area will further increase the strain.</li> </ol>
<p>Councillor Elizabeth Griffin</p>	<p>Woodford Ward</p>	<p>With regard to section 6.20 of the above I have concern about the impact of the vehicles used by travelling show people on the local highways. We have already further local development which will impose additional traffic and also many large vehicles used by local businesses, all of which have to use a rural road system.</p> <p>The A 361 is under increasing pressure and local traffic is likely to use unsuitable rural lanes in order to find diversion from tailbacks. The inadequacies of the A 361 are already well known.</p> <p>I believe it would cause further difficulties to introduce another site for travelling show people and their large</p>

		<p>vehicles to this village and increase further pressures on local roads.</p> <p>I would suggest that another area with a better highways link would be helpful to the travelling show people.</p>
<p>Great Oxendon Parish Council</p>	<p>Peter Rowbotham Parish Clerk</p>	<p>As a Parish Council we support a fair and equitable distribution of the 18 permanent pitches.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that the current sites are all in one part of the Daventry district – 27 pitches on two sites. 100% of the pitches are in one square mile of a district that comprises 257 square miles. This arrangement causes issues including a lack of community cohesion and places additional pressures on local services including Police and Schools.</p> <p>We believe that when considering other sites it is important that these sites are allocated to serve other areas in the Daventry district. At present there seems to be an unfair focus, which is illogical, on one part of the District.</p> <p>We do not support the extension of the nearby traveller sites. This is not sustainable. The local services clearly have difficulty servicing the existing numbers and from a practical point of view this approach is unwise and would create more problems with community cohesion and service provision in the future.</p> <p>As a Parish Council with direct experience of the impacts of having a permanent site we believe that our comments should carry more weight than other Councils who may be commenting on site location. This is especially relevant when talking about the extension of the existing sites. Others will be commenting on this without knowledge, understanding or experience of the local issues and impacts.</p> <p>From our experience large private sites are difficult to manage and almost become ‘no go’ areas for enforcement authorities making it difficult for the provision of local services. Large sites do seem more difficult to manage.</p> <p>We would encourage the use of smaller sites (perhaps managed by the local authority) served by a good road network when meeting the future demand for the 18 sites. We believe that smaller well managed sites are much more likely to be integrated within a community. Small sites will impact less on services such as schools, police and health services. There would also be less risk of internal conflicts on the site.</p> <p>The Parish Council welcomes any joint working Daventry may have with adjoining Councils including Harborough</p>

		<p>and Kettering. We would encourage positive dialogue to ensure the best approach for the region is taken rather than one based upon administrative boundaries. It is more logical to meet the numbers across a region rather than concentrate all traveller sites within a relatively small area within the Daventry/Kettering and the Market Harborough areas.</p>
--	--	---