2 - An increase in housing would support the growth of the Northampton Hockey Club as vehicle to encourage existing infrastructure and community clubs like Moulton Hockey Club to develop their investment in local sporting activity within the current sporting, in this case, football, provision, so it would be useful to see other provision within the area and would be consistent with the local NFA commitment and fits broadly within the Sporting Provision section of the MNDP.

2 - Comments noted.

3 - One of the new areas designated will provide a significant contribution to the infrastructure to support the growth of the area from the increase in housing. There is a plan in place to address additional policies to support the growth in development of football and national football associations to put in place a proactive grassroots activity within the area and would be very much encourage the quality football provision within the area. We'd very reasonable to expect that any numbers that will be created from the increase in housing will allow for planning and future participation by new residents. Northamptonshire FA are committed to the designation of a Sporting Quarter already accommodates the site of one of our most successful and of 2 years old. This is the area of greatest interest to Northants FA and we are encouraged to see that reference made to a range of sport and recreation policies including Sport and Recreation Policies creating a Sustainable Community, improving Community Services, - Do you agree, plus comments.

1 - Comments noted, issue addressed within Policy CS4.

1 - Comments noted.

1 - Comments noted, issue addressed within Policy CS4.

1 - Comments noted, None.

1 - Comments noted.
Agency, Nene House, 81 Environment Contact Details
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Vision for Moulton - Do you agree, plus comments
Key Issues - Do you agree, plus comments

Section 2
Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments
Community Services & Facilities - Do you agree, plus comments
Policies - Do you agree, plus comments
Creating a Sustainable Community

Section 3.1
Community Involvement - Do you agree, plus comments
Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy - Do you agree with the designated area?

Section 3.2
Policy H4 - Development Site
Allocations Do you agree with the sites allocated?

Section 3.3
Housing Policies - Do you agree?

Section 3.4
Allocations Do you agree with the sites allocated?

Section 3.5
Designations Do you agree with the designated areas?
Policy E2 – Area of Separation designated areas?

Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you agree?
Do you think any additional policies should be included?
Are there any other issues you feel the MNDP should address?
Are there any other comments you would like to make?

THE DRAFT MNDP
SUGGESTED CHANGES TO
THE DRAFT MNDP
SUGGESTED CHANGES TO
### Section 3.3

- We support the vision for the development of Moulton. Natural England generally welcomes the proposal and encourages contribution to sustainable development. Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to protect, conserve and enhance the natural environment and the benefit of present and future generations, thereby enhancing, and managed for enhanced, and managed for the protection and environment is conserved.

- The future sustainable framework which will guide the draft neighbourhood plan.

### Section 3.4

- We are particularly supportive of policies SD3: Adapting to Climate Change, E1: Protecting existing Open Spaces and E5: Protection of Crowfields Common.

### Section 3.5

- Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you agree?

### Section 3.6

- Infrastructure. countryside and Green spaces, the surrounding protect and enhance open

---

**Table of Public Comments & Responses**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Letter Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## SUGGESTED CHANGES TO THE DRAFT MNDP

### Protecting Our Environment Policies - Do you agree?

**Policy E2 – Area of Separation Designations**
Do you agree with the designated areas?

### Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you agree?

Do you think any additional policies should be included?

### Vision for Moulton - Do you agree, plus comments

### Key Issues - Do you agree, plus comments

### Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments

### Community Services & Facilities - Do you agree, plus comments

### Improving Community Services, including Sport and Recreation Policies - Do you agree, plus comments

### Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy - Do you agree with the designated area?

### Creating a Sustainable Community Policies - Do you agree, plus comments

### Contact Details

**Section 2**

**Section 3.1**

**Section 3.2**

**Section 3.3**

**Section 3.4**

**Section 3.5**

**Section 3.6**

**Section 3.7**

**Section 3.8**

**Section 3.9**

**Section 3.10**

### Are there any other issues you feel the MNDP should address?

### Are there any other comments you would like to make?

### The Coal Authority

**200 Lichfield Lane**  
**Berry Hill**  
**Mansfield**  
**Nottinghamshire**  
**NG18 4RG**

**Miss Rachael A. Bust**  
Chief Planner /  
Principal Manager

1 - Comments noted from letter.  
1 - Thank you for the notification of the 13 January 2015 consulting The Coal Authority on the above

The Coal Authority is a non-departmental public body which works to protect the public and the environment in coal mining areas. Our statutory role in the planning system is to provide advice about new development in the coalfield areas and also protect coal resources from unnecessary sterilisation by encouraging their extraction, where practical, prior to the permanent surface development commencing.

As you will be aware the neighbourhood plan area is outside of the defined coalfield and therefore The Coal Authority has no specific comments to make on the Neighbourhood Plan.

In the spirit of ensuring efficiency of resources and proportionality it will not be necessary for you to provide The Coal Authority with any future drafts or updates to the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. This letter can be used as evidence for the legal and procedural consultation requirements.

The Coal Authority wishes the plan team every success with the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan.
1 - Thank you for consulting English Heritage on your Neighbourhood Plan. We welcome the emphasis the plan gives the protection of the heritage assets in Moulton, but advise that your policy would be strengthened by explicit reference to the adopted Moulton conservation area appraisal, which sets out its character - a central part of its significance. The extent of the historic environment in the settlement is such that a strong evidence base is required for its protection, and both documents would be strengthened by such reference.

1 - Comments noted and change to be made to MNDP. The following text to be included at the end of 3.5c) ‘as detailed in the Moulton Conservation Area Appraisal & Design Guide 1997 (see 51 within the evidence base).’

Section 3.4 Vision for Moulton - Do you agree, plus comments

Key Issues - Do you agree, plus comments

Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments

Community Services & Facilities - Do you agree, plus comments

Housing Policies - Do you agree?

Policy H4 - Development Site Allocations Do you agree with the sites allocated?

Contact Details

Section 2

Section 3.1

Section 3.2

Section 3.3

Creating a Sustainable Community Policies - Do you agree, plus comments

Community Involvement - Do you agree, plus comments

Improving Community Services, including Sport and Recreation Policies - Do you agree, plus comments

Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy - Do you agree with the designated area?

Protecting Our Environment Policies - Do you agree?

Policy E2 – Area of Separation Designations Do you agree with the designated areas?

Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you agree?

Do you think any additional policies should be included?

Section 3.5

Section 3.6

Section 3 General

RESPONSE BY MPC

Are there any other issues you feel the MNDP should address?

Are there any other comments you would like to make?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment</th>
<th>Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy</th>
<th>Do you agree?</th>
<th>Comments noted.</th>
<th>Other comments</th>
<th>Comments in response</th>
<th>Other comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First part</td>
<td>Policy CS4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second part</td>
<td>(description)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third part</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RNL welcomes the opportunity that adoption of the NDP and be supported by the parish will commit to helping to deliver this facility on land South of Boughton Road. The Steering Group may like to consider that this is the most logical and defensible growth site allocations they present a way to achieve this. The plan acknowledges the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?

An excellent plan that should be adopted. An amendment to be made to Policy H4 of the NDP is in accordance with National Planning Policy and sets out the basis that the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?

The plan acknowledges the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?
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A clear commitment to helping to deliver this facility on land South of Boughton Road. The Steering Group may like to consider that this is the most logical and defensible growth site allocations they present a way to achieve this. The plan acknowledges the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?

RNL is aware of the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?
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RNL is aware of the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?

The plan acknowledges the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?
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A clear commitment to helping to deliver this facility on land South of Boughton Road. The Steering Group may like to consider that this is the most logical and defensible growth site allocations they present a way to achieve this. The plan acknowledges the opportunity that adoption of the NDP is intended by ‘appropriate’ designation is not the only way to achieve this. However, a blanket separation of designated areas?
II. 1 See statement by Brandon Lewis (November 2014): https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/small-scale-developers
- distribute the draft NDP to local residents and organisations for consultation
- examine the responses received
- publish the final version of the NDP

EWHC 4323 (Admin). The text of this case is available free of charge via: http://www.bailii.org/eng/cases/ewhcc/2014/EWHC4323.html

The MNDP therefore aims to conserve and enhance the natural, historic and built environment in Moulton. It is considered that the policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area). As noted under
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Moulton Neighbourhood Development Plan (MNDP)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intro</td>
<td>Amend 1</td>
<td>This policy seeks to ensure that the development of Moulton is...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro</td>
<td>Amend 2</td>
<td>...and that it aligns with the Council's...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro</td>
<td>Amend 3</td>
<td>The Council would like to...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUGGESTED CHANGES TO OFFICIAL POLICY**

1. The Council would like to amend the existing policy to ensure...  
2. The Council notes the comments from the public meeting on...  
3. Comments are as follows:...
## Section 3.1
### General

The purpose of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan (Moulton) is to provide a strategic and local policy framework for the physical development of the village of Moulton, and for the purpose of informing the development of the Neighbourhood Plan (Moulton). The Plan would consider the needs of the community and the wider area, and address key issues such as housing, transport, and the environment. It would also take into account the needs of the Overstone Leys Wedge and the rural area around Moulton.

The Plan would be prepared in consultation with the community, the local council, and other stakeholders. It would be subject to a period of public consultation, and would be adopted by the local council if it is considered appropriate.

### Comments

- **issue:** Assessment and lack of technical documentation.
  - **response:** The Plan would contain an assessment of the key planning issues, and would provide technical documentation to support the policies contained within it.

- **issue:** Purpose of the designation.
  - **response:** The Plan would provide a clear purpose for the designation, and would outline the key objectives that would be pursued.

- **issue:** Legitimacy of the designation.
  - **response:** The Plan would provide evidence of the need for the designation, and would clearly outline the criteria that would be used to determine its boundaries.

### Draft Neighbourhood Plan

The Draft Neighbourhood Plan (Moulton) would consist of a number of policies, each of which would address a specific issue. These policies would be supported by relevant evidence, and would be subject to public consultation before being adopted by the local council.

### Economic

- **issue:** Jobs and the local economy policy.
  - **response:** The Plan would provide a framework for economic development in the area, and would seek to support the growth of the local economy.

### Housing Policies

- **issue:** Housing allocations.
  - **response:** The Plan would allocate land for housing development, and would ensure that the needs of the community are met.

### Community Services & Facilities

- **issue:** Do you agree with the designated area?
  - **response:** The Plan would provide a framework for the provision of community services and facilities in the area, and would seek to ensure that they are provided in a way that is appropriate to the needs of the community.

### Sealed Local Plan Policies

- **issue:** Saved local plan policies.
  - **response:** The Plan would seek to ensure that any saved local plan policies are properly considered, and that they are consistent with the objectives of the Neighbourhood Plan.

### Other Policies

- **Issue:** Do you think any additional policies should be included?
  - **Response:** The Plan would be reviewed to ensure that it is as comprehensive as possible, and that it meets the needs of the community.

### References

- **issue:** Local Plan references in the plan.
  - **response:** The Plan would provide a list of references to the Local Plan, and would seek to ensure that it is consistent with the objectives of the Local Plan.

### Further Information

More information about the Plan can be found in the Neighbourhood Plan document on the council's website.
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4 - Once again smaller

2 - The wording of Policy SD2
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Yes, all of these are needed.

Local community facilities needed to support new houses.

A lot of sport takes place in the village.

These are all advanced facilities.

Comments noted, issue addressed within Policies CS4 and H3.

Moulton needs more facilities.

Comments noted, issue addressed within Policies CS1, CS2 and CS4 and H3.

Yes, recreation is vital for a village identity.

It is important that local facilities are improved.

The vision has been developed in line with the NDP.

The NDP provides a plan for maintaining separation in and around the village.

It is essential we have more facilities badly needed.

This defines acceptable funding is provided to reduce antisocial behaviour.

More facilities badly needed.

Comments noted, issue addressed within Policies CS1, CS4 and H3.

Improve Community Services, including Sport and Recreation Policies - Do you agree, plus comments?

Creating a Sustainable Community

Key Issues - Do you agree, plus comments?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue Area</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Advice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Issues**
- Do you agree, plus designations for bus stops and walkways?
- Why build so much on green space?
- Community facilities for the village. There needs to be more community facilities.

**Housing Policies**
- Do you agree?
- It is important that local communities are consulted.
- Would have been helpful to have more involvement from the community.

**Protecting Our Environment Policies**
- Do you agree?
- Not if the provision of public open space is considered.

**Community Participation**
- Does your community have a current plan?
- Are there any community trusts?

**Policy Engagement**
- Have you heard about the consultation process?
- Are you aware of the timescale for completion?

**Technical Advice**
- Any questions or concerns about the technical advice?
- Are there any areas for improvement?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><code>Section 3.1</code></th>
<th><code>Comments noted, issue addressed within Policy H1.</code></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>Section 3</code></td>
<td><code>Yes vital to all.</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Section 3.2</code></td>
<td><code>What is the definition of a</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Key Issues</code></td>
<td><code>Do you agree, plus comments</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Policies</code></td>
<td><code>Do you agree, plus comments</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>SUGGESTED CHANGES TO</code></td>
<td><code>SUGGESTED CHANGES TO</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>doctors facility - NDP</code></td>
<td><code>doctors facility - NDP</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 - This plan will destroy Moulton as a village. Moulton needs to be nipped in the bud.

2 - Essential to have new green areas between village it needs to be nipped in the bud.

3 - Essential to improve sports facilities to support new developments to balance vs. what jobs other than for spending on year.

4 - Comments noted, issue addressed within Policy SD2.

5 - To enjoy Moulton's open spaces it would be helpful for existing sports facilities (and I believe swimming pool) and I believe existing sports facilities can really be afforded in the long run.

6 - Outside of the remit of the NDP, but MPC to progress.

7 - Comments noted. The JCS sets out the housing requirements for the district and for the rural areas this is stated as 2360 dwellings between 2011 and Overstone SUE.

8 - Need to support new dog parks.

9 - Comments noted. In line with National Planning Policy, R1 of the JCS, as detailed in Policy H1 of the NDP. However, development outside the village is currently acknowledged the need for a primary service village in the hierarchy to which Moulton will fulfil the role or a primary service village in the district and for the rural areas this is stated as 2360 dwellings between 2011 and Overstone SUE.

10 - What jobs other than for spending on year.

11 - Comments noted, issue addressed within Village Design Statement.

12 - Its pointless.
In general, there is more emphasis on lack of cycle paths/routes perhaps in the centre of the village. What is the point of having these things as, to be honest, I don't want any of this to happen. I am devastated to see my village go, and the atmosphere of the village with the consultations which have taken place in 2011-14. This conflicts with the NDP.
Section 3.1

10 - Why does Moulton College contribute so much to the village? 

Section 3.2

3 - Apart from our village being engulfed by a tsunami of development, what do you think the village will be like in 20 years?

Section 3.3

COMMENTS

1 - The large expansion of housing to the east of the village has the air of shutting the village off. It will no longer be a focus for the community.

Section 3.4

COMMENTS

2 - Apart from our village being engulfed by a tsunami of development, what do you think the village will be like in 20 years?

Section 3.5

Designations

Do you agree with the proposed community centre allocation?

Section 3.6

COMMENTS

1 - The large expansion of housing to the east of the village has the air of shutting the village off. It will no longer be a focus for the community.

Policy H4 - Development Site

1 - Mainly.

Policy EMP1 - Clinical Facility

6 - Comments noted. The JCS sets out the housing requirements for the district and for the rural areas this is stated as 2360 dwellings between 2011 and 2029. Development within the rural areas will be guided by a settlement hierarchy to which Moulton will fulfil the role of a primary service village in the parish.

Policy EMP2 - Primary School

5 - Comments noted. The hierarchy to which Moulton will fulfil the role of a primary service village in the parish. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village.

Policy EMP3 - Childcare

7 - Comments noted, issue addressed within Policy EMP1.

Policy EMP4 - Employment

8 - Primary School: cease to offer places to non-Moulton residents. Could residents of Moulton have a say in the development of all sectors of the village, including education? What are your views on this?

Policy EMP5 - Water

9 - Outside of the remit of the NDP. Could residents of Moulton have a say in the development of all sectors of the village, including education? What are your views on this?

Policy EMP6 - Cycling

10 - Comments noted.

Policy EMP7 - Homes

1 - Comments noted. MNDP should address?

Policy EMP8 - Jobs and the Local Economy

2 - Comments noted. MPC has supported the doctors practice in search for a suitable site for a new healthcare facility within the parish. The provision of a healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village. In the consideration of the proposal, a patients healthcare facility remaining within the parish is integral to Moulton continuing as a sustainable village.

Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy

3 - Comments noted. Are there any other comments you would like to make?
Section 3.2

1a) Comments noted and

2 - Is the Parish Total at the

4 - Policy SD2 sub-para (b) -

1 - With one exception, see later.

9 - As far as it goes.

1 - Comments noted.

3 - Would have been helpful to

7 - Too many, too near.

10 - Yes but would like Green

COMMENTS

Section 3.3

COMMENTS

1 - Comments noted.

2 - The Area of Separation is

N - Comments noted.

Y - Comments noted.

Y - Comments noted.

Y - Comments noted.

6 - The Area of Separation

Y - Comments noted.

Policy H4 - Development Site Allocations Do

COMMENTS

1 - Comments noted.

Section 3

COMMENTS

Y - Comments noted.

COMMENTS

1a) Presume that the initial

N - Comments noted.

1 - Comments noted.

6 - Cannot see provision for early

1 - Comments noted.

SUGGESTED CHANGES TO

Moulton Neighbourhood Development Plan (MNDP)

Mary Rose Pearce, 4
Laurels, Moulton, NN3

COMMENTS

Summary of Key Issues' are

remaining part of the SUE.

para 2.5 as the 5274 new

this line in Table 2 needed? The

consent, or does it assume that

the portion of SUE within the

college, is there no prospect of

the school, and indeed Moulton

reinforce the point.

Policy CS4 Sporting Quarter Policy - Do you

Agree, plus comments

on the Area of Separation?

does this represent a conflict with

policy on the Area of Separation?

Are there any other comments you would

like to make?

Improving Community Services, including

Community Involvement - Do you agree, plus

comments

enabling both parents to work if

facilities for under 5 years to

number of young families. Vital to

estimated large numbers of pre-

years/nursery centre 2:2:3 for

School in such a confined area

recognise that more land for

this increasingly frenetic village,

Modern men also change babies!

baby change in the female toilets.

reconfigure position of site of

Field sites extended, as Mr

College, is there no prospect of

Expansion of the college has

access. Perhaps this is not really

created traffic problems for local

Expansion of the college has

could be used to enhance

facilities listed on page 8 that

College has already expended,

a planning issue, since the

access. MPC are currently

ensure additional pre-school

identified as a site for an

parcel of land has already been

engaged in procuring a play group

village. MPC are currently

provision is available within the

ensure additional pre-school

designed to accommodate a

areas and will be limited to

separated within the area of

planning consent are not

areas within the sites with no

areas within the sites with no

Figure in the draft plan.

figures in the draft plan.
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Moulton Neighbourhood Development Plan (MNDP)
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on the Area of Separation?

does this represent a conflict with

policy on the Area of Separation?

Are there any other comments you would

like to make?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Core Objectives</th>
<th>Community Services &amp; Facilities</th>
<th>Protecting Our Environment Policies</th>
<th>Sport and Recreation Policies</th>
<th>Community Involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do you agree, plus comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Priority highways/transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Facilities to Moulton College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Services &amp; Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sport and Recreation Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of the Plan</td>
<td>Core Objectives</td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy Framework</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3.1</td>
<td>Core Objectives</td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy Framework</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Policy E1 relating to the</td>
<td>Core Objectives</td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy Framework</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3.3</td>
<td>Core Objectives</td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy Framework</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Protect Existing Open</td>
<td>Core Objectives</td>
<td>Community Involvement</td>
<td>Housing Policies</td>
<td>Protecting Our Environment Policies</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy Framework</td>
<td>Joint Core Strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 3.2
RESPONSE BY MPC

1.

- We support the vision for the new development to separate from the existing village.
- It should be reflected in the Neighbourhood Development Plan to reflect the content within Section 2.

2.

- Particularly support the policy on how improvements to the village will assist with how the plan links together and how it meets the different needs.
- Projects, these deal with matters beyond residential. It is appropriate to set these out as an appropriate to set out where appropriate.

3.

- It is often regarded as good practice to set out for each policy how the policies will assist with the plan.
- Where appropriate it should be required to mitigate its impact.

4.

- Policy CS3: Adaptation to Climate Change - This section is important to be retained and should be reworded to set out linkages between the two sites.
- It is not publicly known that the East project, DDC has not been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

5.

- Policy CS2: Adaptation to Climate Change - The supporting text has been withdrawn and the inclusion of these allocations.
- The proposed allocations are in compliance with the strategic conditions. One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

6.

- Policy CS4: Sporting Quarter Policy - Do you think any additional policies should be developed for the following reasons. The proposed allocation is not supported.
- This is compliance with the strategic conditions. One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

7.

- Policy E2: Area of Separation - The proposed allocation is not supported.
- One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

8.

- Policy E1: Area of Separation - The proposed allocation is not supported.
- One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

9.

- Policy E3: Area of Separation - The proposed allocation is not supported.
- One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.

10.

- Policy E4: Area of Separation - The proposed allocation is not supported.
- One of the conditions concerns in principle regarding this screening assessment have been identified as a funder. It is required to mitigate its impact.
Section 3.3

4 - We support the proposed Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments.

Section 3.4

Do you agree with the sites allocated?

Section 3.5

Policy E2 – Area of Separation

Purpose of the Designation and Legitimacy of the Designation

The County Council objects to designated areas?

There has been no assessment of the purpose of the Areas of Separation, in the Neighbourhood Plan.

It is accepted that what are called Areas of Separation, in the Designations to be delegated to a Neighbourhood Plan unless it to determine detailed boundaries of the purpose of the Areas of Separation, in the Plan's proposal to allocate Site H1 within the designated Green Zone. It is proposed to allocate Part of the settlement now very old in planning terms and to the Northampton urban area. There are parts of the settlement settlement is already joined with there is already minimal separation between the and there is already minimal along Northampton Road and set up with the designated area?

There are no other policies that the Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Local Plan and indeed the County Council is objecting to the designations now being allocated for housing and commercial development.

For the purpose of this Neighbourhood Plan, the designation is to be used, as appropriate for such areas within the Local Plan. It is justified, with their exact boundaries, can be a tool in evidencing, can be a tool in determining detailed boundaries of the purpose of the Areas of Separation, in the Plan's proposal to allocate Site H1 within the designated Green Zone. It is proposed to allocate Part of the settlement now very old in planning terms and to the Northampton urban area. There are parts of the settlement settlement is already joined with there is already minimal separation between the and there is already minimal along Northampton Road and set up with the designated area?

There are no other policies that the Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Local Plan and indeed the County Council is objecting to the designations now being allocated for housing and commercial development.

For the purpose of this Neighbourhood Plan, the designation is to be used, as appropriate for such areas within the Local Plan. It is justified, with their exact boundaries, can be a tool in evidencing, can be a tool in determining detailed boundaries of the purpose of the Areas of Separation, in the Plan's proposal to allocate Site H1 within the designated Green Zone. It is proposed to allocate Part of the settlement now very old in planning terms and to the Northampton urban area. There are parts of the settlement settlement is already joined with there is already minimal separation between the and there is already minimal along Northampton Road and set up with the designated area?
Section 3.6

Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments.

Section 3

Community Services & Facilities - Do you agree, plus comments.

Commissions

Community Involvement - Do you agree, plus comments.

Housing Policies - Do you agree?

Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you think any additional policies should be made to NDP?
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### Moulton Neighbourhood Development Plan (MNDP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Core Objectives - Do you agree, plus comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Community Services &amp; Facilities - Do you agree, plus comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Policies - Do you agree, plus comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Community Involvement - Do you agree, plus comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Protecting Our Environment Policies - Do you agree?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Housing Policies - Do you agree?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Jobs and the Local Economy Policy - Do you agree?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>EMP1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Are there any other issues you feel the document and one that needs addressing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Are there any other comments you would like to make?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>A very professional document and one that would like to make.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Comments noted.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Comments noted.  Issue addressed within Policy EMP1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Comments noted.  Issue outside the remit of the NDP.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- N: New
- Y: Yes
- N: No
- Comments: Include any comments or suggestions you have regarding the draft MNDP.